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## Executive Summary

The textile industry is one of the longest and most complicated industry chains in manufacturing industry. The textile industry is an important industrial sector in the Bangladesh economy, which predominantly consists of SMEs and which currently faces a serious challenge due to the phasing out of import quotas, resulting in accelerating textile at all stages of textile production (fibers, yarns, intermediates, articles).In this report we select four textile industry those are Square Textiles Ltd, Beximco Synthetics Ltd, CMC-KAMAL Textiles Mills Ltd and Sonargaon Textile. Square Textile is a subsidiary company of Square Group. The company was incorporated as a public limited company. Square is a dynamic and efficient manufacturing company. Beximco Synthetics Limited is a public limited company incorporated in 1994. The company is primarily engaged in the manufacturing and trading of high quality Yarn. CMC-KAMAL Group is one of the leading industrial and investment group based in Mauritius with operations in a number of African and Asian countries. In this report the position of four companies in the stock market has also been discussed. Their Financial position has also been portrayed by different ratios and their comparison over time. So from the analysis, it can be said that the financial performance of the industry is doing well as increasing the day. But this is not enough. Foreign investment and order is also depending on the financial condition of the companies that is why the company should keep their financial condition well along the life period of the company.
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### 1.0 Introduction

Textile Industry is one of the important industries that is contributing toward the development of any economy and is treated as an important industry in the modern world. While agriculture for Somestic consumption is Bangladesh's largest employment sector, the money gained from exporting textiles is the single greatest source of economic growth in Bangladesh. The industry employs about 3 million workers of whom $90 \%$ are women. Two non-market factors have played a crucial role in ensuring the garment sector's continual success namely (a) quotas under Multi- Fiber Arrangement 1 (MFA) in the North American market and (b) preferential market access to European markets. Exports of textiles, clothing, and ready-made garments accounted for $77 \%$ of Bangladesh's total merchandise exports in 2002 . Only $5 \%$ of textile factories are owned by foreign investors, with most of the production being controlled by local investors. The garment industry in Bangladesh became the main export sector and a major source of foreign exchange starting in 1980, and exported about $\$ 5$ billion USD in 2002. In the 1980s, the RMG market started its journey. The Bangladesh textile sector actually grew tremendously after 2004 and reached an export turnover of US\$10.7 billion in FY 2007. Bangladesh's export trade is dominated by the RMG industry. The sector currently employs 2.5 million people-about $40 \%$ of total manufacturing ( $85 \%$ of these employees are women)—and accounts for $76 \%$ of the country's export earnings and $10 \%$ of its GDP. So Textile industry is one of the most important industry for Bangladesh in which our total RMG sector depend and that provide great foreign currency.

### 1.1 Origin of the Report

As a prerequisite for the Bachelor of Business Administration Degree of East West University, a Project Work is required to be completed in a suitable researching area and should be submitted on findings. There are 32 companies enlisted under textile industry in Dhaka Stock Exchange. Among them four Companies named Square Textiles, Sonargaon Textiles, CMC-Kamal Textile Mills, and Beximco Synthetics have been chosen here for analyzing their overall financial performance to explore knowledge in this financial area. Without practical exposure, theory can never be fruitful. For this reason, BBA program has been designed in such a way that a student
an get practical knowledge. The report has been prepared under the counsel of respective project instructor Professor Dr. Tanbir Ahmed Chowdhury.

### 1.2 Objectives of the Report:

The objectives of the study are as follows:

1. To present an overview of selected textile companies of Bangladesh.
2. To appraise the financial performance of selected textile companies.
3. To identify the problems of selected textile companies.
4. To suggest remedial measures for the development of selected companies.

### 1.3 Scope of the Report:

As financial statement of selected textile companies Square Textiles, Sonargaon Textiles, CMCKamal Textile Mills, and Beximco Synthetics are being used to analyze their financial performance. Annual reports from the year 2008-2012 of the selected company have been used as a source of secondary data. So there is an opportunity to learn different aspects of financial performance which helps to appraise the performance of the textile industry of Bangladesh.

### 1.4 Methodology of the Report:

Primary data have not been used to prepare the report. All the data that have been used while preparing the report are from secondary data sources. The necessary data have been collected from the website and annual reports from the year 2008 to 2012 of each company.

The data have been used very carefully to make the report as much relevant as possible.
Method Used: For performance analyzing purpose the Common size statement, growth percentage and time series analysis method have been used.

### 1.5 Limitation of the Study:

The limitation of the study is defined by the expensiveness of the facts covered by the study and those that left out. It is observable that almost all studies have some boundaries. During performing our work, we had to face a number of limitations. These are as follows:

- Privacy of Information: Companies do not want to share all of the information to everyone. Sometimes they also use false or modify the financial statements to attract the investors. For this reason the proper analysis is not possible without having direct contact with the top management.
- Time Limitations: Given time for preparing the report is limited. Within this time it is impossible to prepare a proper study report.
- Data Collection: Annual report is the main source of data in this study. But, annual report doesn't show us the real picture of the financial condition of an organization

Chapter: 2
Overview of Selected Companies

### 2.0 Square Textile Ltd.

Square steps into the textile sector with establishment of its first unit - Square Textiles Ltd. in 1997. A year later, Square step on to its second unit. In the same premises, Square established its third unit on 2000 . Manufacturing business is cotton yarn. Export oriented business.

Mission \& Objective

- To establish ourselves as a one stop source for the Global Knit Apparel market.
- To satisfy and meet customer's expectation by developing and providing products and service on time, which offer value in terms of Quality, Price, Safety \& Environmental impact.
- To assure complete compliance with the international quality standards.

Table 1: Details of Square Textile Ltd.

| Name | Square Textile Ltd. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Established | In 1997 |
| Paid up Capital | 1344 M |
| Market Capital | 14920.65 M |
| Share Distribution | Director-61.31\% <br> Public-19.88\% <br> Institution-12.42\% <br> Foreign-6.39\% |
| Trade \% of MCAP | $0.5855 \%$ |
| No of Securities | $134,420,268$ |
| Public Securities | 26722749 |
| Public Capital | 927 M |
| Last EPS | 4.80 |
| PIE | 16.77 |
| Market category | A |

Source: Stock Bangladesh Limited

### 2.1 Beximco Synthetics Ltd.

Beximco Synthetics Limited Company is a member of the Beximco Group, was incorporated in Bungladesh as a public limited company.It went for public issue of shares and debentures in 1993 and commenced operation in July 1994.The shares of the company are listed in the Dhaka and Chittagong Stock Exchange of Bangladesh and the debentures of the company are listed in the Dhaka Stock Exchange of Bangladesh. The principle activities of the company are manufacturing of Polyester Filament Yarn namely, Partially Oriented Yarn (POY) and Draw Texturized Filament Yarn (DTFY) and sales thereof. The company Employed 408 employees as of 31 December 2008.

Table 2: Details of Beximco Synthetics Ltd.

| Name | Beximco Synthetics Ltd. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Established | In 1990 |
| Paid up Capital | 867 M |
| Market Capital | 1378.73 M |
| Share Distribution | Director-36.07\% <br> Public-57.68\% <br> Institution-6.23\% <br> Foreign-0.02\% |
| Trade \% of MCAP | $0.4601 \%$ |
| No of Securities | 86712359 |
| Public Securities | 50015689 |
| Public Capital | 243 M |
| Last EPS | 0.22 |
| PIE | 54.20 |
| Market category | A |

[^0]
### 2.2 Sonargaon Textile Ltd.

Sonargaon Textiles Ltd. (STL) is basically a spinning project in textile sector. It is the biggest textile concern in entire South Bengal. STL is $100 \%$ export oriented spinning industry. It has generated employment opportunity for more than 2,000 workers including the officials of different desks. It is a public Limited company listed with Dhaka and Chittagong. Stock Exchange in the year 1995. It produces cotton yarn of different counts for knitting and weaving. It is financed by different banks (both Nationalized and Private)

## Table 3: Details of Sonargaon Textiles Ltd.

| Name | Sonargaon Texrile Ltd. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Established | In 1985 |
| Paid up Capital | 265 M |
| Market Capital | 452.59 M |
| Share Distribution | Director-44.55\% <br> Public-33.93\% <br> Institution-21.49\% <br> Foreign-0.03\% |
| Trade \% of MCAP | $1.3848 \%$ |
| No of Securities | 26467056 |
| Public Securities | 8980272 |
| Public Capital | 37 M |
| Last EPS | -0.52 |
| P/E | -24.66 |
| Market category | B |

[^1]
### 2.3 CMC-Kamal Textile Mills Ltd.

Lotas- Kamal Group, a business house involved in a number of business disciplines covering manufacturing, trading, services and real estate sectors. CMC-Kamal is one of its oldest business plant established in 1995. It is a public Limited company with about 900 employees. The company produces Cotton yarn spinning having 25000 conventional spindles and 2000 open end spinning rotors.

## Table 4: Details of CMC-Kamal Textile Mills Ltd.

| Name | CMC-Kamal Textile Mills Ltd. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Established | In 1995 |
| Paid up Capital | 765 M |
| Market Capital | 2111.21 M |
| Share Distribution | Director-26.76\% <br> Public- $73.24 \%$ |
| Trade \% of MCAP | $9.5640 \%$ |
| No of Securities | 76492968 |
| Public Securities | 56023450 |
| Public Capital | 66 M |
| Last EPS | 1.14 |
| P/E | 18.16 |
| Market category | A |

[^2]
## Chapter: 3

Collection of Data and Comparisons over Time

## [34. Square Textile Limited

ze Income Statement (2008-2012)

Years Ended December 31
$2008 \quad 2009 \quad 2010 \quad 2011 \quad 2$

As Reported (in TAKA)

| $4,417,580,642$ | $5,075,626,120$ | $6,096,627,967$ | $9,391,822,689$ | $8,741,773,216$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(3,672,486,432)$ | $(4,308,815,485)$ | $(4,960,533,384)$ | $(7,942,084,250)$ | $(7,375,660,293)$ |
| $\mathbf{7 4 5 , 0 9 4 , 2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 6 , 8 1 0 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 6 , 0 9 4 , 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 9 , 7 3 8 , 4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6 6 , 1 1 2 , 9 2 3}$ |
| $-92,268,126$ | $-124,704,336$ | $-128,465,638$ | $-181,811,012$ | $-220,435,740$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5 2 , 8 2 6 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 2 , 1 0 6 , 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 7 , 6 2 8 , 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 7 , 9 2 7 , 4 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 5 , 6 7 7 , 1 8 3}$ |
| $1,076,892$ | 554,007 | 311,937 | 673,417 | 309,079 |
| $\mathbf{6 5 3 , 9 0 2 , 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 2 , 6 6 0 , 3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 7 , 9 4 0 , 8 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 8 , 6 0 0 , 8 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 5 , 9 8 6 , 2 6 2}$ |
| $-203,735,214$ | $-184,936,794$ | $-105,175,074$ | $-245,428,390$ | $-112,424,900$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5 0 , 1 6 7 , 7 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 7 , 7 2 3 , 5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 2 , 7 6 5 , 8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 3 , 1 7 2 , 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 3 , 5 6 1 , 3 6 2}$ |
| $-104,500,242$ | $-104,752,129$ | $-189,603,994$ | $-198,341,780$ | $-204,567,605$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4 5 , 6 6 7 , 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 , 9 7 1 , 3 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 3 , 1 6 1 , 8 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 4 , 8 3 0 , 6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 8 , 9 9 3 , 7 5 7}$ |

As \% Of Total Sales

| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -83.13 | -84.89 | -81.37 | -84.56 | -84.37 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ |
| -2.089 | -2.46 | -2.11 | -1.94 | -2.52 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.0051 | 0.007 | 0.004 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| -4.61 | -3.64 | -1.73 | -2.61 | -1.29 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| -2.37 | -2.06 | -3.11 | -2.11 | $\mathbf{- 2 . 3 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |

Cormon-size statements are used to standardize financial statement components by expressing them as percentage of a relevant base. Here balance sheet components are shown as a percentage of total assets; revenues and expenses are computed as a percentage of total sales and Commonsae statements are used to compare the performance of a single company over time.

## Comparison over time; Square Textile:

Common-size Income Statement and Balance Sheet provide such insight. Looking at the Common-size Income statement in Exhibit 3-0, we immediately see that:

1. Square Textile has the lowest cost of goods sold to sales at $81.37 \%$ in the year 2010 , whereas in 2009 it was a little bit higher at $84.89 \%$.
2. Square Textile has the lowest operating expense to sales in 2011 at $1.94 \%$ and highest operating expense in 2012 at $2.52 \%$.
3. Reflecting these differences, Square Textile has the highest income from operation ( $17 \%$ of Sales) in year 2010 and in year 2009, 2011, 2012 it has lowest income from operations ( $13 \%$ of sales).
4. Square Textile has a higher tax rate in year 2010 ( $3.11 \%$ of sales) still in the year Square has highest net income ( $12 \%$ ) as its income before tax was higher ( $15 \%$ of Sales). In the previous year 2009 Square had lowest Net income ( $7 \%$ of sales).

3-1. Square Textile Limited n-Size Balance Sheet (2008-2012)


Tuming to the Balance Sheet, we find that:

1. Square has the highest inventory in stock in year 2010 at $29.12 \%$ and the lowest in 2012 at $20.44 \%$.
2. Square Textile is strongest financially, as in all five years its current assets exceed its total liabilities. Total liability is lowest in 2009 ( $47 \%$ of Total asset). Square Textile has highest current asset in year 2011 ( $72 \%$ of total asset)
3. Square also has the highest ratio ( $65 \%$ ) of equity to total asset in year 2012. Here we can also see that Square Textile relies more on short-term debt as the ratio of short-term debt is higher than long term debt.
4. Square has higher accounts receivable in year 2011 ( $37.62 \%$ of total asset).
5. Square has higher accounts payable in the same year 2011 ( $24.91 \%$ of total asset).
6. Square has no deferred income taxes.

|  | Years Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|  | As Reported (in TAKA) |  |  |  |  |
| Sies | 932,831,738 | 792,781,515 | 1,009,552,138 | 1,545,017,437 | 1,426,752,263 |
| cocs | -796,850,257 | -665,395,623 | -875,855,449 | -1,300, 156,899 | -1,161,418,416 |
| Smass Profit | 135,981,481 | 127,385,892 | 133,696,689 | 244,860,538 | 265,333,847 |
| Cherating Expense | -13,325,494 | -13,192,070 | -15,854,944 | -19,587,878 | -20,418,704 |
| Operating Income | 122,655,987 | 114,193,822 | 117,841,745 | 225,272,660 | 244,915,143 |
| Ther Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| lherme Before linerest and Tax | 122,655,987 | 114,193,822 | 117,841,745 | 225,272,660 | 244,915,143 |
| merst Expenses | -99,327,085 | -94,865,888 | -85,649,980 | -85,562,149 | -139,499,076 |
| linevme before Tax | 23,328,902 | 19,327,934 | 32,191,765 | 139,710,511 | 105,416,067 |
| Zusision for Taxes | -4,443,600 | -7671986 | -4,717,642 | -31,534,339 | -24,972,385 |
| Ser Income | 18,885,302 | 11,655,948 | 27,474,123 | 108,176,172 | 80,443,682 |


| As \% Of Total Sales |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fues | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Encos | -85.42 | -83.93 | -86.76 | -84.15 | -81.40 |
| Simss Profit | 15\% | 16\% | 13\% | 16\% | 19\% |
| herting Expense | -1.43 | -1.66 | -1.57 | -1.27 | -1.43 |
| Inerating Income | 14\% | 14\% | 11\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| Uner lincome | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| treme Before <br> Inerest and Tax | 14\% | 14\% | 11\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| -mat Expenses | -10.65 | -11.97 | -8.48 | -5.54 | -9.78 |
| heame before Tax | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 9\% | 8\% |
| Uursion for Taxes | -0.48 | -0.97 | -0.47 | -2.04 | -1.75 |
| Sen lincome | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 7\% | 6\% |

## Comparison over time; Beximco Synthetics:

Tuming to the Income Statement of Beximco Synthetics, we can see:

1. Beximco Synthetics has the lowest cost of goods sold to sales at $81.40 \%$ in the year 2012, whereas in 2010 it has the highest cost of goods sold at $86.76 \%$.
2. Beximco Synthetics has the lowest operating expense to sales in 2011 at $1.27 \%$ and highest operating expense in 2009 at $1.66 \%$.
3. Reflecting these differences, Beximco Synthetics has the highest income from operation ( $18 \%$ of Sales) in year 2012 and in year 2010 it has the lowest income from operations ( $11 \%$ of sales).
4. Beximco Synthetics has a'higher tax rate in year 2011 ( $2.04 \%$ of sales) still in the year Beximco has highest net income (7\%) as its income before tax was higher ( $9 \%$ of Sales). In the year 2009 Beximco had lowest Net income ( $1 \%$ of sales).

|  | Years Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|  | As reported (in TAKA) |  |  |  |  |
| Latr | 1,768,293 | 3,876,722 | 5,231,582 | 14,693,819 | 3,277,873 |
| Imentaries | 789,637,475 | 767,296,947 | 837,904,260 | 854,468,643 | 947,588,270 |
| [uid Expenses | 174,427,665 | 130,528,834 | 67,756,450 | 40,451,219 | 36,799,733 |
| - Receivable | 514,401,229 | 527,839,772 | 483,382,252 | 721,835,474 | 906,582,593 |
| Werreat Assets | 1,480,234,662 | 1,429,542,275 | 1,394,274,544 | 1,631,449,155 | 1,894,248,469 |
| Frod Assets | 1,508,358,739 | 1,489,533,171 | 1,465,424,394 | 1,451,259,573 | 1,441,076,281 |
| Tiral Assets | 2,988,593,401 | 2,919,075,446 | 2,859,698,938 | 3,082,708,728 | 3,335,324,750 |
| $\square$ Term Debt | 523,967,488 | 518,709,213 | 502,915,003 | 483,959,876 | 795,394,747 |
| C Payable | 54,984,784 | 73,826,489 | 83,324,900 | 75,948,201 | 66,103,676 |
| bhers | 128,286,982 | 118,125,883 | 139,857,776 | 132,490,890 | 240,956,810 |
| Tarreat Liabilities | 707,239,254 | 710,661,585 | 726,097,679 | 692,398,967 | 1,102,455,233 |
| - Liabilities | 263,587,853 | 178,991,619 | 118,290,741 | 266,823,071 | 28,939,145 |
| Thal Liabilities | 970,827,107 | 889,653,204 | 844,388,420 | 959,222,038 | 1,131,394,378 |
| Trimal Equity | 2,017,766,294 | 2,029,422,242 | 2,015,310,518 | 2,123,486,690 | 2,203,930,372 |
| -rival Liabilities \& | 2,988,593,401 | 2,919,075,446 | 2,859,698,938 | 3,082,708,728 | 3,335,324,750 |
| As \% of Total Asset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cent | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 0.10 |
| Imemtories | 26.42 | 26.29 | 29.30 | 27.72 | 28.41 |
| Tuid Expenses | 5.84 | 4.47 | 2.37 | 1.31 | 1.10 |
| 4CReceivable | 17.21 | 18.08 | 16.90 | 23.42 | 27.18 |
| Curreat Assets | 50\% | 49\% | 49\% | 53\% | 57\% |
| Fred Assets | 50\% | 51\% | 51\% | 47\% | 43\% |
| Thal Assets | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Turn Term Debt | 17.53 | 17.77 | 17.59 | 15.70 | 23.85 |
| 4C Payable | 1.84 | 2.53 | 2.91 | 2.46 | 1.98 |
| Hers | 4.29 | 4.05 | 4.89 | 4.30 | 7.22 |
| Carreat Liabilities | 24\% | 24\% | 25\% | 22\% | 33\% |
| $\underline{-2}$ Liabilities | 8.82 | 6.13 | 4.14 | 8.66 | 0.87 |
| Trual Liabilities | 33\% | 30\% | 29\% | 31\% | 34\% |
| Thral Equity | 67\% | 70\% | 71\% | 69\% | 66\% |
| Tiral Liabilities \& | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Looking at the Common-size Balance Sheet in Exhibit 3-3, we can immediately see that:

1. Beximco Synthetics has the highest inventory in stock in year 2010 at $29.30 \%$ and the lowest in 2009 at $26.29 \%$.
2. Beximco Synthetics is strongest financially, as in all five years its current assets exceed its total liabilities. Total liability is lowest in 2010 ( $29 \%$ of Total asset). Beximco Synthetics has highest current asset in year 2012 ( $57 \%$ of total asset)
3. Beximco also has the highest ratio ( $71 \%$ ) of equity to total asset in year 2010. Here we can also see that Beximco Synthetics relies more on short-term debt as the ratio of shortterm debt is higher than long term debt.
4. Beximco has higher accounts receivable in year 2012 (27.18\% of total asset).
5. Beximco has higher accounts payable in year 2010 ( $2.91 \%$ of total asset).
6. Beximco Synthetics has no deferred income taxes.

|  | Years Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| As Reported (in TAKA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uis | 651,097,600 | 693,496,591 | 724,013,901 | 781,963,868 | 821,574,671 |
| OGS | -572,939,213 | -596,341,076 | -614,271,454 | -661,298,258 | -714,569,029 |
| Crmss Profit | 78,158,387 | 97,155,515 | 109,742,447 | 120,665,610 | 107,005,642 |
| Iperating Expense | -21,529,382. | -22,441,434 | -24,016,856 | -25,257,917 | -25,296,163 |
| Operating Income | 56,629,005 | 74,714,081 | 85,725,591 | 95,407,693 | 81,709,479 |
| Ther Income | 198,442 | 581,712 | 251,425 | 4,487,875 | 13,760,580 |
| lacume Before linerest and Tax | 56,827,447 | 75,295,793 | 85,977,016 | 99,895,568 | 95,470,059 |
| merest Expenses | -64,016,716 | -62,098,957 | -66,439,636 | -78,348,972 | -85,611,306 |
| lacome before Tax | -7,189,269 | 13,196,836 | 19,537,380 | 21,546,596 | 9,858,753 |
| Invision for Taxes | -200,000 | -2,821,723 | -4,100,500 | -5,023,139 | -2,746,832 |
| Ker Income | -7,389,269 | 10,375,113 | 15,436,880 | 16,523,457 | 7,111,921 |



## Comparison over time; Sonargaon Textile:

Tuming to the Income Statement of Sonargaon Textile, we can see:

1. Sonargaon Textile has the lowest cost of goods sold to sales at $84.57 \%$ in the year 2011 , whereas in 2008 it has the highest cost of goods sold at $87.99 \%$.
2. Sonargaon Textile has the lowest operating expense to sales in 2012 at $3.08 \%$ and highest operating expense in 2010 at $3.32 \%$. Here we can also observe that the expenses are not varying too much, its growth rate is around 2-3\%
3. Reflecting these differences, Sonargaon Textile has the highest income from operation ( $12 \%$ of Sales) in year 2010 and 2012, and in year 2008 it has the lowest income from operations( $9 \%$ of sales). So our observation says that income of this company is growing gradually.
4. Sonargaon Textile has a higher tax rate in year 2011 ( $0.64 \%$ of sales). The company's net income on sales is near $1-2 \%$. Because they are paying more interests. The highest interest expense that the company faced is $10.42 \%$ on sales in year 2012 .


Looking at the Common-size Balance Sheet in Exhibit 3-5, we can immediately see that:

1. Sonargaon Textile has the highest inventory in stock in year 2008 at $45.95 \%$ and the lowest in 2010 at $29.91 \%$.
2. Sonargaon Textile is not financially strong, as in all five years its total liabilities exceed its current assets. Total liability is lowest in 2011 ( $51 \%$ of Total asset). Sonargaon Textile has highest current asset in year 2009 ( $54 \%$ of total asset)
3. Sonargaon also has the highest ratio ( $49 \%$ ) of equity to total asset in year 2011. Here we can also see that Sonargaon Textile relies more on long-term debt as the ratio of longterm debt is higher than short-term debt.
4. Accounts receivable of Sonargaon Textile is increased in 2009 from $1.38 \%$ to $6.18 \%$ of total asset. It has the lowest accounts receivable in year 2011 ( $1.33 \%$ 0n total assets)
5. Sonargaon Textile has higher accounts payable in year 2008 ( $23.61 \%$ of total asset) then it is gradually decreasing over time.

Guhbit 3-6.CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills Limited Cammon-Size Income Statement (2008-2012)

|  | Years Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|  | As Reported (in TAKA) |  |  |  |  |
| was | 162,999,801 | 207,453,709 | 434,207,010 | 468,240,190 | 513,181,307 |
| tog | -145,841,375 | -177,912,560 | -366,068,421 | -400,161,495 | -418,572,526 |
| Gross Profit | 17,158,426 | 29,541,149 | 68,138,589 | 68,078,695 | 94,608,781 |
| Wersing Expense | -8,752,599 ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | -8,687,458 | -10,295,754 | -18,534,705 | -15,523,997 |
| Operating Income | 8,405,827 | 20,853,691 | 57,842,835 | 49,543,990 | 79,084,784 |
| Ofer Income | 270,132 | 1,156,989 | 4,015,658 | 42,966,464 | 67,677,086 |
| hacume Before Interest and Tax | 8,675,959 | 22,010,680 | 61,858,493 | 92,510,454 | 146,761,870 |
| merst Expenses | -22,608,912 | -1,662,634 | -19,010,817 | -21,794,871 | -23,592,424 |
| lowme before Tax | -13,932,953 | 20,348,046 | 42,847,676 | 70,715,583 | 123,169,446 |
| Zuvision for Taxes | -19,163,711 | -3,052,207 | -6,427,151 | -9,818,619 | -18,450,934 |
| Ner lncome | -33,096,664 | 17,295,839 | 36,420,525 | 60,896,964 | 104,718,512 |


|  | As \% Of Total Sales |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sus | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Des | -89.47 | -85.76 | -84.31 | -85.46 | -81.56 |
| Lros Profit | 11\% | 14\% | 16\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| Thring Expense | -5.37 | -4.19 | -2.37 | -3.96 | -3.03 |
| Inerating Income | 6\% | 10\% | 14\% | 11\% | 15\% |
| the licome | 0.17 | 0.56 | 0.92 | 9.18 | 13.19 |
| Before Interest $\operatorname{mot} \text { Tax }$ | 6\% | 11\% | 15\% | 20\% | 28\% |
| nese Expenses | -13.87 | -0.80 | -4.38 | -4.65 | -4.60 |
| bume before Tax | -8\% | 10\% | 11\% | 16\% | 23\% |
| Trision for Taxes | -11.76 | -1.47 | -1.48 | -2.10 | -3.60 |
| Ier lincome | -20\% | 9\% | 10\% | 14\% | 19\% |

## Comparison over time; CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills:

Turning to the Income Statement of CMC-KAMAL Textile, we can see:

1. CMC-KAMAL Textile has the lowest cost of goods sold to sales at $81.56 \%$ in the year 2012, whereas in 2008 it has the highest cost of goods sold at $89.47 \%$.
2. An examinations of the components indicates that the increased profitability (relative to sales) is primarily due efficiencies in cost of goods sold. As a percentage of sales, COGS decreased from $89 \%$ to $84 \%$ of sales in 2010 as a result gross profit increased from $11 \%$ to $16 \%$ of sales in year 2010.
3. CMC-KAMAL Textile has the lowest operating expense to sales in 2010 at $2.37 \%$ and highest operating expense in 2008 at $5.37 \%$.
4. Reflecting these differences, CMC-KAMAL Textile has the highest income from operation ( $15 \%$ of Sales) in year 2012 and in year 2008 it has the lowest income from operations( $6 \%$ of sales). So our observation says that income of this company is growing gradually.
5. CMC-KAMAL Textile has a higher tax rate in year 2008 ( $11.76 \%$ of sales). It has the highest net income in year 2012 ( $20 \%$ of sales)

|  | Years Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| As reported (in TAKA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| tath | 827,623 | 6,049,751 | 14,199,236 | 639,240,226 | 368,505,501 |
| Themories | 62,968,100 | 81,337,627 | 95,299,788 | 135,692,738 | 152,830,922 |
| nuid Expenses | 6,183,324 | 59,971,654 | 20,163,159 | 7,621,772 | 28,467,573 |
| -CReceivable | 4,538,333 | 10,182,282 | 26,222,248 | 7,865,104 | 0 |
| Warreat Assets | 74,517,380 | 157,541,314 | 155,884,431 | 790,419,840 | 549,803,996 |
| Frued Assets | 403,268,706 | 370,497,374 | 797,417,772 | 850,392,682 | 1,094,290,573 |
| Tinal Assets | 477,786,086 | 528,038,688 | 953,302,203 | 1,644,251,414 | 1,644,094,569 |
| -rat Term Debt | 57,088,968 | 99,337,798 | 123,733,664 | 124,286,120 | 124,969,374 |
| C Payable | 15,309,065 | 9,061,527 | 8,661,822 | 11,734,810 | 0 |
| tess | 10,516,622 | 118,788,427 | 101,864,855 | 65,014,342 | 26,523,080 |
| Curreat Liabilities | 82,914,655 | 227,187,752 | 234,260,341 | 201,035,272 | 151,492,454 |
| - Liabilities | 222,871,431 | 102,252,950 | 58,476,580 | 70,846,614 | 18,952,967 |
| -rial Liabilities | 305,786,086 | 329,440,702 | 292,736,921 | 271,881,886 | 170,445,421 |
| Trial Equity | 172,000,000 | 198,597,986 | 660,565,182 | 1,372,369,528 | 1,473,649,148 |
| Liabilities \& | 477,786,086 | 528,038,688 | 953,302,103 | 1,644,251,414 | 1,644,094,569 |
| As \% of Total Asset |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cus | 0.17 | 1.15 | 1.49 | 38.88 | 22.41 |
| Imentories | 13.18 | 15.40 | 10.00 | 8.25 | 9.30 |
| ?-uid Expenses | 1.29 | 11.36 | 2.12 | 0.46 | 1.73 |
| C Receivable | 0.95 | 1.93 | 2.75 | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| Carrent Assets | 16\% | 30\% | 16\% | 48\% | 33\% |
| Freed Assets | 84\% | 70\% | 84\% | 52\% | 67\% |
| Tiral Assets | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| $\square \mathrm{ma}$ Term Debt | 11.95 | 18.81 | 12.98 | 7.56 | 7.60 |
| -C.C Payable | 3.20 | 1.72 | 0.91 | 0.71 | 0.00 |
| Ithers | 2.20 | 22.50 | 10.69 | 3.95 | 1.61 |
| Carrent Liabilities | 17\% | 43\% | 25\% | 12\% | 9\% |
| - ed Liabilities | 46.65 | 19.36 | 6.13 | 4.31 | 1.15 |
| -nial Liabilities | 64\% | 62\% | 31\% | 16\% | 10\% |
| Tral Equity | 36\% | 38\% | 69\% | 84\% | 90\% |
| Thal Liabilities \& Bruity | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Looking at the Common-size Balance Sheet in Exhibit 3-7, we can immediately see that:

1. CMC-KAMAL Textile has the highest inventory in stock in year 2009 at $15.40 \%$ and the lowest in 2011 at $8.25 \%$.
2. CMC-KAMAL Textile is becoming financially strong, as in year 2011, 2012 its current assets exceed its total liabilities. Total liability is lowest in 2012 ( $10 \%$ of Total asset). CMC-KAMAL Textile has highest current asset in year 2011 ( $48 \%$ of total asset)
3. CMC-KAMAL also has the highest ratio ( $90 \%$ ) of equity to total asset in year 2012. Here we can also see that CMC-KAMAL Textile currently relies more on short-term debt as the ratio of short-term debt is higher than long-term debt in the current years.
4. CMC-KAMAL Textile has higher accounts receivable in year 2010 ( $2.75 \%$ of total asset).
5. CMC-KAMAL Textile has higher accounts payable in year 2008 ( $3.20 \%$ of total asset) then it is gradually decreasing over time. And in 2012 the company has $0 \%$ accounts payable of total assets.

Chapter: 4
Square Textile's
Performance Analysis

In this Chapter the performance of Square Textile has been analyzed by calculating Activity, Liquidity, Solvency and Profitability ratios by collecting information from their annual report 2008-2012).
4) Activity Ratios: Activity ratios describe the relationship between the firm's level of operations (usually defined as sales) and the assets needed to sustain operating activities. The higher the ratio, the more efficient the firm's operations, as relatively fewer assets are required to support a given level of operations (sales).

## 1) Inventory Turnover Ratio

Table 1: Inventory turnover

| mer | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| welat Soods Sold | 3,672,486,432 | 4,308,815,485 | 4,960,533,384 | 7,942,084,250 | 7,375,660,293 |
| Pmaries | 1,600,950,725 | 1,367,504,190 | 2,337,729,488 | 1,902,471,712 | 1,651,754,805 |
| -mrary turnover Ratio | 2.29 | 3.15 | 2.12 | 4.17 | 4.47 |
| mom Rate (\%) | - | 37.36 | -32.66 | 96.74 | 6.96 |

Source: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)

liventory turnover ratio measures the number of times inventory has been turned over in a year.
This in turn means higher the ratio better it is for the company. Higher ratio means inventory does not remain in stock for long, it is sold quickly. Though the Company's inventory turnover
atio is low, but it is increasing over the year from 2008 to 2012. So we can easily understand that Square textile has tried to manage their inventory turnover process in an efficient way, so that they can quickly sell the finished goods without remaining the inventory in the warehouse.

## Average Number of day's inventory in stock:

Table 2: Average Number of day's inventory in stock

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inventory turnover Ratio | 2.29 | 3.15 | 2.12 | 4.17 | 4.47 |
| No of Days | 159 | 116 | 172 | 87 | 82 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -27.20 | 48.49 | -49.17 | -6.51 |

Source: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The impact of lower inventory turnover ratio reflects in average number of day's inventory in stack. Lower the ratio better. According to the data in 2008 it is higher, due to lower inventory arnover ratio, but over the time it is decreasing, due to increasing inventory turnover ratio. i.e. approximately 159 days in 2008 the highest and in 2012 it is 82 days the lowest. So over the time bey became efficient to manage frequent inventory movement and completing production process quickly, as well as selling the goods quickly.

## 7. Receivable Turnover Ratio

Table 3: Receivable Turnover

| me | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 4,417,580,642 | 5,075,626,120 | 6,096,627,967 | 9,391,822,689 | 8,741,773,216 |
| crierevable | 2,318,863,152 | 2,188,554,329 | 2,793,836,999 | 3,331,220,012 | 2,656,903,857 |
| -matie Turnover Ratio | 1.91 | 2.32 | 2.18 | 2.82 | 3.29 |
| -ume Rate (\%) | - | 21.74 | -5.91 | 29.20 | 16.70 |

Sinare: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The receivable turnover ratio is same as the inventory turnover ratio. It measures how frequently receivables are turned over in a year. Higher this ratio is better. The graph shows that in 2008 it collected its cash inefficiently and maintained a liberal cash collection policy but there has been increase in coming years which rnakes it easy to conduct operation. In 2012 Square textile has the highest Accounts receivable turnover which is 0f value 3.29.

Table 4: Average Number of day's receivable outstanding

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receivable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover | 1.91 | 2.32 | 2.18 | 2.82 | 3.29 |
| No of Days | 192 | 157 | 167 | 129 | 111 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -17.86 | 6.28 | -22.60 | -14.31 |

Source: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Lower the average number of day's receivable outstanding, better it is for the firm. Which indicate receivable is collected quickly. In 2012, average number of days receivable outstanding was approximately 111 days which is the lowest and the best achieved in five years. This means in 2012 the company takes 111 days on an average to complete one receivable turnover process ic. from sale of goods to collection of receivables. The highest number of days to complete one receivable turnover process was approximately 192 days in 2008. It means the firm required 192days to collect the receivables after sale of goods.

## 3) Payable Turnover

Table 5: Payable Turnover

| $\underline{r}$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emis | 3,672,486,432 | 4,308,815,485 | 4,960,533,384 | 7,942,084,250 | 7,375,660,293 |
| Ter in Inventory | 0 | -233,446,535 | 970,225,298 | -435,257,776 | -250,716,907 |
| Tamable | 1,590,516,200 | 1,270,464,545 | 1,500,362,542 | 2,205,497,561 | 1,797,488,294 |
| mate Turnover ratio | 2.31 | 3.21 | 3.95 | 3.40 | 3.96 |
| weth Rate (\%) | - | 38.93 | 23.23 | -13.89 | 16.46 |

Source: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Like the inventory turnover ratio, payable turnover ratio measures how frequently the payables are turned over in a year. Lower the ratio better it is for the firm. In five years scenario, at the teginning 5 years the firm has lower long term debt. Which indicates the firm's solvency regarding conduct the firm's operation. But in 2012 the company has the highest payable umover ratio which is 3.96 .

Table 6: Average Number of day's payable outstanding

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payable Turnover | 2.31 | 3.21 | 3.95 | 3.40 | 3.96 |
| No of Days | 158 | 114 | 92 | 107 | 92 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -28 | -19 | 16 | -14 |

Siurse: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


In case of average number of day's payables outstanding reflects the number of days needed to camplete on payable turnover process. In 2008, the ratio was the highest with 158 days to exmplete one payable turnover process, which is sufficient to get more time to pay the payable and get more time to use it. In 2012 the no of days are lowest of value 92 .

## Total Asset Turnover Ratio

Table 7: Total Asset Turnover

| ter | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leses | 4,417,580,642 | 5,075,626,120 | 6,096,627,967 | 9,391,822,689 | 8,741,773,216 |
| Tris Lisset | 6,909,502,400 | 6,302,755,459 | 8,029,074,592 | 8,854,929,578 | 8,082,510,063 |
| -ris Isset turnover Ratio | 0.64 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| mach rate (\%) | - | 25.96 | -5.71 | 39.68 | 1.97 |

Siurce: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The total asset turnover has hit the lowest 0.64 x in 2008 and highest 1.98 x in 2012 . As the total sset turnover ratio is highest in 2012 this high ratio indicates firm generates more sales by more efficiently use the asset. This is highly happened in 2012 compare to other years.
5) Fixed Turnover Ratio

Table 8: Fixed Asset Turnover

| \% | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4,417,580,642 | 5,075,626,120 | 6,096,627,967 | 9,391,822,689 | 8,741,773,216 |
| bexer | 2,696,313,904 | 2,517,420,230 | 2,431,705,707 | 2,483,823,461 | 2,631,811,191 |
| mase Turnover Ratio | 1.64 | 2.02 | 2.51 | 3.78 | 3.32 |
| melate (\%) | - | 23.06 | 24.35 | 50.82 | -12.16 |

Sinare: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio measures the efficiency of capital investment. The higher the ratio, the better it is. According to the graph the fixed asset turnover ratio decreased from 3.78 to 3.32, in 2012. This means in 2012, for taka 1 change in fixed asset sales generated is taka 3.32. The highest in five years was the 3.78 and the lowest fixed asset turnover ratio of 1.64 in 2008 . Since then the trend shows, slowly the ratio have been increasing in 2009 and again rising in 2011 and over the time It is falling again in 2012, but within a range.
B) Liquidity Ratios: Liquidity means the ability of the firm to use its current assets to pay its short term obligations. Short-term creditors are more concern about the liquidity analysis of a firm, because they want to know that whether a firm has ability to pay its short term obligation in-time or not.

1) Operating Cycle

Table 9: Operating Cycle

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No of Days Inventory in <br> stock | 159 | 116 | 172 | 87 | 82 |
| Days Sales Outstanding | 192 | 157 | 167 | 129 | 111 |
| Operating Cycle | 351 | 273 | 339 | 217 | 193 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -22.09 | 24.17 | -36.07 | -11.17 |

Source: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Operating cycle of a firm is the sum of the number of days it takes to sell inventory and the number of days until the resulting receivable are converted to cash. The lower the number of days is, the better the firm is. Square Textile had lowest number of days in operation cycle in year 2008 and it has highest number of days in operation cycle in 2012 which is 193 days.

## Table 10: Cash Cycle

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Cycle | 351 | 273 | 339 | 217 | 193 |
| Days Payable Outstanding | 158 | 114 | 92 | 107 | 92 |
| Cash Cycle | 193 | 159 | 247 | 110 | 101 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -17.23 | 54.88 | -55.59 | -8.27 |

Source: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The cash cycle captures the interrelationship of sales, collections and trade credit in a manner that the individual numbers may not. The shorter the cycle, the more efficient the firm's aperation and cash management; longer cycles, on the other hand, may be indicative of cash shortfalls and increased financing cost. Square textile has lowest number of days in cash cycle in 2012 which is 101 days. In 2008 financing cost of Square textile has more ratio as it had the tighest 193 days in their cash cycle.

## 3) Current Ratio

Table 11: Current Ratio

| Ters | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrent Assets | 4,213,188,496 | 3,785,335,229 | 5,597,368,885 | 6,371,106,117 | 5450698872 |
| Lrrent Liabilities | 3,495,826,349 | 2,784,133,019 | 3,908,757,979 | 4,034,826,920 | 2,584,353,232 |
| Lument Ratio | 1.21 | 1.36 | 1.43 | 1.58 | 2.11 |
| İrwch Rate (\%) | - | 12.81 | 5.32 | 10.27 | 33.57 |

Surce Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The current ratio measures ability of the company to pay its current liability using its current eset. The higher the ratio the better is the firm's liquidity position. Throughout the five years the fim's current ratio was increasing from 1.21 to 2.11 . This means for every taka current liability the company more current asset compare to the previous year. Such as in 2011 with 1.58 taka of current asset it pays out 1 taka of current liability.

Table 12: Quick Ratio

| 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Iurrent Assets | $4,213,188,496$ | $3,785,335,229$ | $5,597,368,885$ | $6,371,106,117$ | 5450698872 |
| arment Liabilities | $3,495,826,349$ | $2,784,133,019$ | $3,908,757,979$ | $4,034,826,920$ | $2,584,353,232$ |
| mentories | $1,600,950,725$ | $1,367,504,190$ | $2,337,729,488$ | $1,902,471,712$ | $1,651,754,805$ |
| Sick Ratio | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 1.11 | 1.47 |
| Zravth Rate (\%) | - | 16.22 | -3.97 | 32.81 | 32.73 |

Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the ability of the firm to pay its current liability using current asset without tepending of inventory and prepaid expenses. Over five years the highest is in 2012 with 1.47 $i \leq$ to payout 1 taka liability the firm has 1.47 taka current asset without depending on inventory and prepaid expense. The liquidity position is the weakest in 2008. The quick ratio over the years has increased, which indicates firm's liquidity position is good.

Table 13: Cash Ratio

| Ber | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sath | 68,113,333 | 91,112,895 | 122,931,848 | 480,986,146 | 473,076,464 |
| Irrent Liabilities | 3,495,826,349 | 2,784,133,019 | 3,908,757,979 | 4,034,826,920 | 2,584,353,232 |
| Inet Ratio | 0.019 | 0.033 | 0.031 | 0.119 | 0.183 |
| İmwth Rate (\%) | - | 67.96 | -3.90 | 279.04 | 53.56 |

arce: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


In case of quick ratio we depend on accounts receivable. Here after including only cash and cash erpivalents the ratio has further gone down compared to quick ratio, hitting the lowest in 2008 with 0.019 x and highest in 2012 with 0.183 x . This means in 2008 , for every taka of current liability there was 0.019 taka of cash and cash equivalents available. This raises a huge question an the firm's liquidity position. But it rises over the year and in 2012 it raised a little indicating improvement.

Colvency Ratios: The analysis of firm capital structure is neeessary to understand long serm risk and return prospect.

1) Debt to Capital

Table 14: Debt to Capital

| ET | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tral Debt | 3,661,952,204 | 2,954,615,381 | 4,085,667,254 | 4,214,863,692 | 2,767,812,418 |
| Tral Capital | 6,909,502,400 | 6,302,755,459 | 8,029,074,592 | 8,854,929,578 | 8,082,510,063 |
| aeer to Capital Ratio | 53\% | 47\% | 51\% | 48\% | 34\% |
| Iinuth Rate (\%) | - | -11.55 | 8.55 | -6.46 | -28.06 |

Siurce: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the proportion of the capital supplied by the creditors and dependence of the if in on external borrowing. This ratio has been decreasing at 2009, again increase at 2010 and mgain decrease in 2011. Over the five years the firm has a volatile ratio, but finally they have ried to manage solvency of the firm. But moreover the firm's dependency on external borrowing lies between $40 \%-50 \%$ over the last 5 years.

Table 15: Debt to Equity

| Ner | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tral Debt | $3,661,952,204$ | $2,954,615,381$ | $4,085,667,254$ | $4,214,863,692$ | $2,767,812,418$ |
| Tral Capital | $3,099,349,824$ | $3,346,445,128$ | $3,940,131,862$ | $4,635,087,878$ | $5,308,232,046$ |
| Debt to Equity Ratio | 1.18 | 0.88 | 1.04 | 0.91 | 0.52 |
| Browth Rate (\%) | - | -25.27 | 17.45 | -12.31 | -42.66 |

Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio measures for every 1 taka of equity how much liability the shareholders bear. This ratio tas been volatile from 2008-2012. But finally in recent years they have tried to manage liability and in 2012 for every taka of equity shareholders bear 0.52 taka as liability. This means the risk beared by shareholders decreased in 2012.
3) Interest Coverage Ratio

Table 16: Interest Coverage Ratio

| II | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| merest Expense | $653,902,976$ | $642,660,306$ | $1,007,940,882$ | $1,268,600,844$ | $1,145,986,262$ |
| merest Coverage Ratio | $203,735,214$ | $184,936,794$ | $105,175,074$ | $245,428,390$ | $112,424,900$ |
| armath Rate (\%) | 3.21 | 3.48 | 9.58 | 5.17 | 10.19 |

Surce: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio, often referred to as the Times interest earned ratio, measures the protection available D creditors as the extent to which earnings available for interest cover interest expense. In 2008 Square textile had the lowest interest coverage ratio 3.21 and in 2012 the company has the tighest interest coverage ratio of value 10.19 .
4) CFO to Debt Ratio

Table 17: CFO to Debt Ratio

| = | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 124,800,600 | 768,703,259 | 448,197,662 | 1,698,352,865 | 1,851,725,900 |
| Ini Debt | 3,661,952,204 | 2,954,615,381 | 4,085,667,254 | 4,214,863,692 | 2,767,812,418 |
| $30 \pm 0$ Debt Ratio | 0.034 | 0.260 | 0.110 | 0.403 | 0.669 |
| anch Rate (\%) | - | 663.40 | -57.84 | 267.31 | 66.03 |

Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)

satio measures how much cash flow from operation is generated to pay out the creditors. Square Textile CFO to debt ratio is constantly below 1 which indicates that the debt is always her than the cash flow generated from core operation. In the year 2008 the ratio is lowest, this ficates in that year there was little cash outflow from the core operation.
D) Profitability Ratios: Any Shareholders or Equity Investor mostly concern with the profitability analysis of the firm, because of following three reasons:
To earn profit,
2 To sustain profit, and
It To increase profit.

1) Gross Margin

Table 18: Gross Margin

| 3 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nes | 4,417,580,642 | 5,075,626,120 | 6,096,627,967 | 9,391,822,689 | 8,741,773,216 |
| Itess Profit | 745,094,210 | 766,810,635 | 1,136,094,583 | 1,449,738,439 | 1,366,112,923 |
| mess Margin Ratio (\%) | 16.87 | 15.11 | 18.63 | 15.44 | 15.63 |
| Imath Rate (\%) | - | -10.43 | 23.35 | -17.16 | 1.24 |

Siarce: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio shows the relationship between the sale and manufacturing cost of the firm. The ratio indicates how efficiently the firm manages its cost of sale to generate higher profit. Therefore, higher the ratio better it is for the firm. Over the years the gross margins have decreased with a litle fluctuation but at 2010 the margin has increased again at $18.63 \%$.

## 2) Operating Margin

Table 19: Operating Margin

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{m}$ | $4,417,580,642$ | $5,075,626,120$ | $6,096,627,967$ | $9,391,822,689$ | $8,741,773,216$ |
| ming Profit | $652,826,084$ | $642,106,299$ | $1,007,628,945$ | $1,267,927,427$ | $1,145,677,183$ |
| Margin Ratio (\%) | 14.78 | 12.65 | 16.53 | 13.50 | 13.11 |
| Rate (\%) | - | -14.39 | 30.65 | -18.32 | -2.92 |

inure: Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)

measures the profitability of the company from firm's central operation. At 2009 ratio has thown decrease, but again it is increasing both in 2010 and 2011. These indicate the firm Pherates $16.53 \%$ profit from its central operation in 2010 , which is the highest over the five
3) Margin before Interest and tax

Table 20: Margin before Interest and Tax

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $4,417,580,642$ | $5,075,626,120$ | $6,096,627,967$ | $9,391,822,689$ | $8,741,773,216$ |
|  | $653,902,976$ | $642,660,306$ | $1,007,940,882$ | $1,268,600,844$ | $1,145,986,262$ |
| celore Interest \& Tax (\%) | 14.80 | 12.66 | 16.53 | 13.51 | 13.11 |
| late (\%) | - | -14.46 | 30.57 | -18.30 | -2.95 |

Tuce Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures profitability from the firms over all operation i.e. central and peripheral aperation. In these five years the ratio hit the lowest of 13.11 in the year 2012 and highest of 1653 in 2010. This means in 2010, for every one taka of sales the company generated 16.53 taka af profit from both its central and peripheral operations.
4) Pretax Margin

Table 21: Pretax Margin

| m | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | 4,417,580,642 | 5,075,626,120 | 6,096,627,967 | 9,391,822,689 | 8,741,773,216 |
| \#r | 450,167,762 | 457,723,512 | 902,765,808 | 1,023,172,454 | 1,033,561,362 |
| $\underline{\sim}$ | 10.19 | 9.02 | 14.81 | 10.89 | 11.82 |
| -wthate (\%) | - | -11.50 | 64.20 | -26.43 | 8.53 |

nure Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This measures the firm's profitability without considering the tax structure. Over the years the fir-'s pretax margin has increased except in 2009. After these rise and fall, finally the ratio rose lack again to $11.82 \%$.

Table 22: Profit Margin

| ner | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 4,417,580,642 | 5,075,626,120 | 6,096,627,967 | 9,391,822,689 | 8,741,773,216 |
| er income | 345,667,520 | 352,971,383 | 713,161,814 | 824,830,674 | 828,993,757 |
| me Margin (\%) | 7.82 | 6.95 | 11.70 | 8.78 | 9.48 |
| meth Rate (\%) | - | -11.13 | 68.21 | -24.92 | 7.98 |

$u \pi=$ Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)

profitability after considering tax and capital structure including all other expenses is messured by net profit margin. This indicates the firm's overall profitability net of all expenses. The ratio has been increasing over the last five years. Square Textile has highest profit value in ear 2010 the value is $11.70 \%$

## Return on Asset

Table 23: Return on Asset

| 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asset | $6,909,502,400$ | $6,302,755,459$ | $8,029,074,592$ | $8,854,929,578$ | $8,082,510,063$ |
| Ee Income | $345,667,520$ | $352,971,383$ | $713,161,814$ | $824,830,674$ | $828,993,757$ |
| Ca/\%) | 5.00 | 5.60 | 8.88 | 9.31 | 10.26 |
| Zewth Rate (\%) | - | 11.94 | 58.60 | 4.87 | 10.11 |

surce Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures two things: 1.the management's ability to utilize the firm's profit and 2. The $=\mathrm{m}$ available to all capital providers.

Figher ratio will indicate the firm is earning more return which will go to the investors. Square Textile return on asset ratio has been going down at 2008 and again increase over the years, which is highest of $10.26 \%$ in 2012 . Which means for every one taka of total asset the income Penerated if 10.26 taka.

## Return on Equity

Table 24: Return on Equity

| 3 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mal Equity | 3,099,349,824 | 3,346,445,128 | 3,940,131,862 | 4,635,087,878 | 5,308,232,046 |
| er income | 345,667,520 | 352,971,383 | 713,161,814 | 824,830,674 | 828,993,757 |
| ex 87 | 11.15 | 10.55 | 18.10 | 17.80 | 15.62 |
| mach Rate (\%) | - | -5.43 | 71.60 | -1.68 | -12.24 |

$\square$ Annual Report of Square Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the return available to all equity holders. The income in this calculation can $z$ income before or after tax but it must be the income after considering the interest payment. Encept 2009 the ratio is increasing over the last five years.

## Chapter: 5

Beximco Synthetics'
Performance Analysis

It this Chapter the performance of Beximco Synthetics has been analyzed by calculating exivity, Liquidity, Solvency and Profitability ratios by collecting information from their annual (2008-2012).
4) Activity Ratios: Activity ratios describe the relationship between the firm's level of operations (usually defined as sales) and the assets needed to sustain operating activities. The higher the ratio, the more efficient the firm's operations, as relatively fewer assets are required to support a given level of operations (sales).

1) Inventory Turnover Ratio

Table 1: Inventory turnover

| 9 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mers Soods Sold | 796,850,257 | 665,395,623 | 875,855,449 | 1,300,156,899 | 1,161,418,416 |
| meries | 789,637,475 | 767,296,947 | 837,904,260 | 854,468,643 | 947,588,270 |
| mern turnover Ratio | 1.01 | 0.87 | 1.05 | 1.52 | 1.23 |
| me Tate (\%) | - | -14.07 | 20.54 | 45.57 | -19.45 |

surce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


Inventory turnover ratio measures the number of times inventory has been turned over in a year.
Is we can see from the table, the five year data of inventory turnover ratio of Beximco Synthetics Ltd, in the year 2008 it has been 1.01 x and it hits the lowest 0.87 x in 2009. The trend shows, after year 2008 there has been a decline and since it has been decreasing indicating that
mentory remained in stock for longer hitting the lowest in 2009. So from 2009 the ratio again arned to rise in a large scale indicating progress and efficiency in inventory management.

Table 2: Average Number of day's inventory in stock

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inventory turnover Ratio | 1.01 | 0.87 | 1.05 | 1.52 | 1.23 |
| No of Days | 362 | 421 | 349 | 240 | 298 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 16.37 | -17.04 | -31.30 | 24.15 |

Source: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The impact of lower inventory turnover ratio reflects in average number of day's inventory in sack. Lower the ratio better. According to the data in 2009 it is higher, due to lower inventory aumover ratio, but over the time it is decreasing, due to increasing inventory turnover ratio. i.e. sproximately 421 days in 2009 the highest and in 2011 it is 240 days the lowest. So over the time they became efficient to manage frequent inventory movement and completing production zrocess quickly, as well as selling the goods quickly.

Table 3: Receivable Turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $932,831,738$ | $792,781,515$ | $1,009,552,138$ | $1,545,017,437$ | $1,426,752,263$ |
| Rereivable | $514,401,229$ | $527,839,772$ | $483,382,252$ | $721,835,474$ | $906,582,593$ |
| mable Turnover Ratio | 1.81 | 1.50 | 2.09 | 2.14 | 1.57 |
| Rate (\%) | - | -17.18 | 39.06 | 2.48 | -26.47 |

Rurce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The receivable turnover ratio is same as the inventory turnover ratio. Higher this ratio, the better it is. Higher ratio indicated the cash collection will be faster. The graph of receivable turnover thata shows that it has been highest in the year 2011, but over the years it remains high. The sceivable turnover rates over 5 year's data indicate that cash collection process of the company is good. So the company is maintaining good cash collection process.

Table 4: Average Number of day's receivable outstanding

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receivable Turnover | 1.81 | 1.50 | 2.09 | 2.14 | 1.57 |
| No of Days | 201 | 243 | 175 | 171 | 232 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 20.74 | -28.09 | -2.42 | 36.00 |

Source: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


Lawer the average number of day's receivable outstanding, better it is for the firm. Which indicate receivable is collected quickly. In 2011, average number of days receivable outstanding was approximately 171 days which is the lowest and the best achieved in five years. This means in 2011 the company takes 171 days on an average to complete one receivable turnover process $i$ from sale of goods to collection of receivables. The highest number of days to complete one receivable turnover process was approximately 243 days in 2009. It means the firm requires 243 days to collect the receivables after sale of goods.

## 3) Pavable Turnover Ratio

Table 5: Payable Turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| m liventory | $796,850,257$ | $665,395,623$ | $875,855,449$ | $1,300,156,899$ | $1,161,418,416$ |
| arle | 0 | $-22,340,528$ | $70,607,313$ | $16,564,383$ | $93,119,627$ |
| Uarnover ratio | $54,984,784$ | $73,826,489$ | $83,324,900$ | $75,948,201$ | $66,103,676$ |
| Rate (\%) | 14.49 | 8.71 | 11.36 | 17.34 | 18.98 |

narce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


Like the inventory turnover ratio, payable turnover ratio measures how frequently the payables are turned over in a year. Lower the ratio better it is for the firm. In five years scenario, at the leginning 5 years the firm has lower long term debt. Which indicates the firm's solvency regarding conduct the firm's operation. But in 2012 the company has the highest payable umover ratio which is 18.98 .

Table 6: Average Number of day's payable outstanding

| Tear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Payable Turnover | 14.49 | 8.71 | 11.36 | 17.34 | 18.98 |
| No of Days | 25 | 42 | 32 | 21 | 19 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 66 | -23 | -34 | -9 |

Scurce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)

## Average No of Days Payable Outstanding



In case of average number of day's payables outstanding reflects the number of days needed to amplete on payable turnover process. In 2009, the ratio is the highest with 42 days to complete me payable turnover process, which is sufficient to get more time to pay the payable and get more time to use it. In 2012 the numbers of days are lowest of value 19.

## Total Asset Turnover Ratio

Table 7: Total Asset Turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $932,831,738$ | $792,781,515$ | $1,009,552,138$ | $1,545,017,437$ | $1,426,752,263$ |
| E asset | $2,988,593,401$ | $2,919,075,446$ | $2,859,698,938$ | $3,082,708,728$ | $3,335,324,750$ |
| asset turnover Ratio | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
| rate (\%) | - | -12.99 | 29.99 | 41.97 | -14.65 |

ince Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The total asset turnover has hit the lowest 0.31 x in 2008 and highest 0.50 x in 2011 . As the total eset turnover ratio is highest in 2011 this high ratio indicates firm generates more sales by more eficiently use the asset. This is highly happened in 2011 compare to other years. So it indicates that firm is managing the asset efficiently. But in other years firm's ratio is not so high which indicates firm could not mange the asset efficiently.

## Fixed Turnover Ratio

Table 8: Fixed Asset Turnover

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 932,831,738 | 792,781,515 | 1,009,552,138 | 1,545,017,437 | 1,426,752,263 |
| ne | 1,508,358,739 | 1,489,533,171 | 1,465,424,394 | 1,451,259,573 | 1,441,076,281 |
|  | 0.62 | 0.53 | 0.69 | 1.06 | 0.99 |
| Pime (96) | - | -13.94 | 29.44 | 54.53 | -7.00 |

nuse Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)

Fixed Asset Turnover Ratio


The ratio measures the efficiency of capital investment. The higher the ratio, the better it is. tscording to the graph the fixed asset turnover ratio decreased from 1.06 to 0.99 , in 2012. This means in 2012, for taka 1 change in fixed asset sales generated is taka 0.99 . The highest in five vears was the 1.06 and the lowest fixed asset turnover ratio of 0.53 in 2009 . Since then the trend shows, slowly the ratio have been increasing in 2009 and again rising in 2011 and over the time t is falling again in 2012, but within a range.
3) Liquidity Ratios: Liquidity means the ability of the firm to use its current assets to pay its short term obligations. Short-term creditors are more concern about the liquidity analysis of a firm, because they want to know that whether a firm has ability to pay its short term obligation in-time or not.

1) Operating Cycle

Table 9: Operating Cycle

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No of Days Inventory in stock | 362 | 421 | 349 | 240 | 82 |
| Days Sales Outstanding | 201 | 243 | 175 | 171 | 232 |
| Operating Cycle | 563 | 664 | 524 | 410 | 314 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 17.93 | -21.08 | -21.67 | -23.57 |

Source: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


Operating cycle of a firm is the sum of the number of days it takes to sell inventory and the number of days until the resulting receivable are converted to cash. The lower the number of dys is, the better the firm is. Beximco Synthetics had lowest number of days in operation cycle in year 2012 and it has highest number of days in operation cycle in 2009 which is 664 days.

## Cash Cycle

## Table 10: Cash Cycle

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Cycle | 563 | 664 | 524 | 410 | 314 |
| Days Payable Outstanding | 25 | 42 | 32 | 21 | 19 |
| Cash Cycle | 538 | 622 | 492 | 389 | 294 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 15.66 | -20.93 | -20.83 | -24.38 |

Source: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)

cash cycle captures the interrelationship of sales, collections and trade credit in a manner that the individual numbers may not. The shorter the cycle, the more efficient the firm's aperation and cash management; longer cycles, on the other hand, may be indicative of cash shortfalls and increased financing cost. Beximco Synthetics has lowest number of days in cash cycle in 2012 which is 294 days. In 2009 financing cost of Beximco Synthetics was more as it tus the highest 622 days in their cash cycle.

## 3) Current Ratio

Table 11: Current Ratio

| ser | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irant Assets | 1,480,234,662 | 1,429,542,275 | 1,394,274,544 | 1,631,449,155 | 1,894,248,469 |
| mrent Liabilities | 707,239,254 | 710,661,585 | 726,097,679 | 692,398,967 | 1,102,455,233 |
| zrnent Ratio | 2.09 | 2.01 | 1.92 | 2.36 | 1.72 |
| Invch Rate (\%) | - | -3.89 | -4.54 | 22.71 | -27.08 |

Sarce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The current ratio measures ability of the company to pay its current liability using its current eset. The higher the ratio the better is the firm's liquidity position. Throughout the four years the firn's current ratio was increasing from 2.09 to 2.36 . This means for every taka current liability the company more current asset compare to the previous year. But in the year 2012 Beximco has Sced a drop in their current ratios.

Table 12: Quick Ratio

| ner | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intent Assets | 1,480,234,662 | 1,429,542,275 | 1,394,274,544 | 1,631,449,155 | 1,894,248,469 |
| Iment Liabilities | 707,239,254 | 710,661,585 | 726,097,679 | 692,398,967 | 1,102,455,233 |
| mentories | 789,637,475 | 767,296,947 | 837,904,260 | 854,468,643 | 947,588,270 |
| Inick Ratio | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.77 | 1.12 | 0.86 |
| Inswch Rate (\%) | - | -4.57 | -17.77 | 46.45 | -23.48 |

Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the ability of the firm to pay its current liability using current asset without lepending of inventory and prepaid expenses. Over five years the highest is in 2011 with 1.12 Le. to payout 1 taka liability the firm has 1.12 taka current asset without depending on inventory and prepaid expense. The liquidity position is the weakest in 2010. The quick ratio over the years is in a volatile position.

## 5) Cash Ratio

Table 13: Cash Ratio

| Ier | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Osh | $1,768,293$ | $3,876,722$ | $5,231,582$ | $14,693,819$ | $3,277,873$ |
| Irrent Liabilities | $707,239,254$ | $710,661,585$ | $726,097,679$ | $692,398,967$ | $1,102,455,233$ |
| Sash Ratio | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.021 | 0.003 |
| Irowth Rate (\%) | - | 118.18 | 32.08 | 194.54 | -85.99 |

Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


In case of quick ratio we depend on accounts receivable. Here after including only cash and cash apivalents the ratio has further gone down compared to quick ratio, hitting the lowest in 2008 with 0.003 x and highest in 2011 with 0.021 x . This means in 2008 , for every taka of current lability there was 0.003 taka of cash and cash equivalents available. This raises a huge question in the firm's liquidity position. But it rises over the year and in 2011 it raised more.

Dolvency Ratios: The analysis of firm capital structure is necessary to understand long term risk and return prospect.

1) Debt to Capital

Table 14: Debt to Capital

| $\pm$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ar Debt | 970,827,107 | 889,653,204 | 844,388,420 | 959,222,038 | 1,131,394,378 |
| arapital | 2,988,593,401 | 2,919,075,446 | 2,859,698,938 | 3,082,708,728 | 3,335,324,750 |
| erm Capital Ratio | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| math Rate (\%) | - | -6.18 | -3.12 | 5.38 | 9.02 |

تrucce Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)

ratio measures the proportion of the capital supplied by the creditors and dependence of the firm on external borrowing. This ratio has been decreasing at 2009, again increase at 2011 . Over the five years the firm has a volatile ratio, but finally they have tried to manage solvency of the firm. But moreover the firm's dependency on external borrowing lies between $30 \%-35 \%$ over last 5 years.

## 2) Debt to Equity

Table 15: Debt to Equity

| Tr | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| midebt | 970,827,107 | 889,653,204 | 844,388,420 | 959,222,038 | 1,131,394,378 |
| mas Equity | 2,017,766,294 | 2,029,422,242 | 2,015,310,518 | 2,123,486,690 | 2,203,930,372 |
| $\geq \geq \pm$ Equity Ratio | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.51 |
| weh Rate (\%) | - | -8.89 | -4.42 | 7.81 | 13.64 |

marce Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio measures for every 1 taka of equity how much liability the shareholders bear. This ratio Is been quite stable from 2008-2012. In 2012 for every taka of equity shareholders bear 0.51 aka as liability. This means the risk beared by shareholders increased in 2012. That means shareholders have to bear more liability.

Table 16: Interest Coverage Ratio

| Her | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 T$ | 122,655,987 | 114,193,822 | 117,841,745 | 225,272,660 | 244,915,143 |
| terest Expense | 99,327,085 | 94,865,888 | 85,649,980 | 85,562,149 | 139,499,076 |
| terest Coverage Ratio | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.38 | 2.63 | 1.76 |
| Irowth Rate (\%) | - | -98.80 | -98.62 | -97.37 | -98.24 |

mote Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio, often referred to as the Times interest earned ratio, measures the protection available as creditors as the extent to which earnings available for interest cover interest expense. In 2008 Beximco Synthetics had the lowest interest coverage ratio 1.23 and in 2011 the company has the tighest interest coverage ratio of value 2.63 .

Table 17: CFO to Debt Ratio

| Near | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| वFO | $616,252,588$ | $208,735,938$ | $193,518,465$ | $15,833,834$ | $16,389,512$ |
| Total Debt | $970,827,107$ | $889,653,204$ | $844,388,420$ | $959,222,038$ | $1,131,394,378$ |
| CFO to Debt Ratio | 0.635 | 0.235 | 0.229 | 0.017 | 0.014 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -63.04 | -2.32 | -92.80 | -12.24 |

Sinrce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures how much cash flow from operation is generated to pay out the creditors. Beximco Synthetics CFO to debt ratio is constantly below 1 which indicates that the debt is always higher than the cash flow generated from core operation. In the year 2008 the ratio is the highest, this indicates in that year there was more cash outflow from the core operation.
D) Profitability Ratios: Any Shareholders or Equity Investor mostly concern with the profitability analysis of the firm, because of following three reasons:

To earn profit,
2 To sustain profit, and
ETo increase profit.

1) Gross Margin

Table 18: Gross Margin

| Brar | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| es | 932,831,738 | 792,781,515 | 1,009,552,138 | 1,545,017,437 | 1,426,752,263 |
| Iess Profit | 135,981,481 | 127,385,892 | 133,696,689 | 244,860,538 | 265,333,847 |
| Inss Margin Ratio (\%) | 14.58 | 16.07 | 13.24 | 15.85 | 18.60 |
| Iowth Rate (\%) | - | 10.23 | -17.58 | 19.67 | 17.34 |

urce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio shows the relationship between the sale and manufacturing cost of the firm. The ratio indicates how efficiently the firm manages its cost of sale to generate higher profit. Therefore, bigher the ratio better it is for the firm. Over the years the gross margins have decreased with a little fluctuation but at 2012 the margin has increased again at $18.60 \%$.

## 2) Operating Margin

Table 19: Operating Margin

| $\approx$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\approx$ | $932,831,738$ | $792,781,515$ | $1,009,552,138$ | $1,545,017,437$ | $1,426,752,263$ |
| rating Profit | $122,655,987$ | $114,193,822$ | $117,841,745$ | $225,272,660$ | $244,915,143$ |
| arting Margin Ratio (\%) | 13.15 | 14.40 | 11.67 | 14.58 | 17.17 |
| Rate (\%) | - | 9.55 | -18.96 | 24.91 | 17.73 |

murce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


This measures the profitability of the company from firm's central operation. At 2010 ratio has shown decrease, but again it is increasing both in 2011 and 2012. These indicate the firm generates $17.17 \%$ profit from its central operation in 2012 , which is the highest over the five jears.

## 3) Pretax Margin

## Table 20: Pretax Margin

| $\approx$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $932,831,738$ | $792,781,515$ | $1,009,552,138$ | $1,545,017,437$ | $1,426,752,263$ |
|  | $23,328,902$ | $19,327,934$ | $32,191,765$ | $139,710,511$ | $105,416,067$ |
| $\pi$ Margin (\%) | 2.50 | 2.44 | 3.19 | 9.04 | 7.39 |
| reth Rate (\%) | - | -2.51 | 30.79 | 183.58 | -18.29 |

Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)

measures the firm's profitability without considering the tax structure. Over the years the Iim's pretax margin has increased except in 2012. In 2012 the pretax margin was $7.39 \%$, which Ins negative growth rate compared to previous year.

## 4) Profit Margin

## Table 21: Profit Margin

| Hear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $932,831,738$ | $792,781,515$ | $1,009,552,138$ | $1,545,017,437$ | $1,426,752,263$ |
| Het Income | $18,885,302$ | $11,655,948$ | $27,474,123$ | $108,176,172$ | $80,443,682$ |
| Aront: Margin (\%) | 2.02 | 1.47 | 2.72 | 7.00 | 5.64 |
| Srowth Rate (\%) | - | -27.38 | 85.10 | 157.28 | -19.47 |

arce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


The profitability after considering tax and capital structure including all other expenses is measured by net profit margin. This indicates the firm's overall profitability net of all expenses. The ratio has been increasing over the. last five years. Beximco Synthetics has highest profit value in year 2011 the value is $7 \%$.
5) Return on Asset

Table 22: Return on Asset

| Ter | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iral Asset | 2,988,593,401 | 2,919,075,446 | 2,859,698,938 | 3,082,708,728 | 3,335,324,750 |
| er income | 18,885,302 | 11,655,948 | 27,474,123 | 108,176,172 | 80,443,682 |
| C4/\%) | 0.63 | 0.40 | 0.96 | 3.51 | 2.41 |
| Iimuth Rate (\%) | - | -36.81 | 140.60 | 265.25 | -31.27 |

Surce: Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures two things: 1.the management's ability to utilize the firm's profit and 2. The tenurn available to all capital providers.

Figher ratio will indicate the firm is earning more return which will go to the investors. Beximco Synthetics return on asset ratio has been going down at 2008 and again increase over the years, shich is highest of $3.51 \%$ in 2011 . Which means for every one taka of total asset the income generated if 3.51 taka.

Return on Equity

Table 23: Return on Equity

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| i Equity | $2,017,766,294$ | $2,029,422,242$ | $2,015,310,518$ | $2,123,486,690$ | $2,203,930,372$ |
| e income | $18,885,302$ | $11,655,948$ | $27,474,123$ | $108,176,172$ | $80,443,682$ |
| ce (\%6) | 0.94 | 0.57 | 1.36 | 5.09 | 3.65 |
| ath Rate (\%) | - | -38.63 | 137.36 | 273.68 | -28.35 |

Annual Report of Beximco Synthetics Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the return available to all equity holders. The income in this calculation can $x$ income before or after tax but it must be the income after considering the interest payment. Except 2012 the ratio is increasing over the last five years. In 2011 return on equity of Beximco Synthetics is the highest, $5.09 \%$.

## Chapter: 6

Shonargann Textile's
Performance Analysis
athis Chapter the performance of Sonargaon Textile has been analyzed by calculating Activity, idity, Solvency and Profitability ratios by collecting information from their annual report 2018-2012).
4) Activity Ratios: Activity ratios describe the relationship between the firm's level of operations (usually defined as sales) and the assets needed to sustain operating activities. The higher the ratio, the more efficient the firm's operations, as relatively fewer assets are required to support a given level of operations (sales).

1) Inventory Turnover Ratio

Table 1: Inventory turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ciaods Sold | $572,939,213$ | $596,341,076$ | $614,271,454$ | $661,298,258$ | $714,569,029$ |
| anes | $487,325,468$ | $450,906,860$ | $486,266,658$ | $759,871,855$ | $807,615,858$ |
| turnover Ratio | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 0.87 | 0.88 |
| Gare (\%) | - | 12.49 | -4.48 | -31.11 | 1.67 |

urce: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Inventory turnover ratio measures the number of times inventory has been turned over in a year. ts we can see from the table, the five year data of inventory turnover ratio of Sonargaon Textile lad in the year 2008 it has been 1.18 x and it hits the lowest 0.87 x in 2011 . The trend shows,
year 2008 there has been a decline and since it has been decreasing indicating that inventory ned in stock for longer hitting the lowest in 2011.

## erage Number of day's inventory in stock:

Table 2: Average Number of day's inventory in stock

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inventory turnover Ratio | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 0.87 | 0.88 |
| No of Days | 310 | 276 | 289 | 419 | 413 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -11.10 | 4.69 | 45.15 | -1.64 |

Source: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

impact of lower inventory turnover ratio reflects in average number of day's inventory in
Lower the ratio better. According to the data in 2011 it is the highest, due to lower entory turnover ratio, but over the time it is decreasing, due to increasing inventory turnover mio. i.e. approximately 419 days in 2009 the highest and in 2009 it is 276 days the lowest. So ser the time they are not that much efficient to manage frequent inventory movement and ampleting production process quickly.
2) Receivable Turnover Ratio

Table 3: Receivable Turnover

| $=$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| me | 651,097,600 | 693,496,591 | 724,013,901 | 781,963,868 | 821,574,671 |
| Creceivable | 14,645,426 | 64,106,736 | 70,761,406 | 26,161,824 | 30,523,807 |
| -mable Turnover Ratio | 44.46 | 10.82 | 10.23 | 29.89 | 26.92 |
| ach Rate (\%) | - | -75.67 | -5.42 | 192.12 | -9.95 |

Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

receivable turnover ratio is same as the inventory turnover ratio. Higher this ratio, the better Itis. Higher ratio indicated the cash collection will be faster. The graph of receivable turnover an shows that it has been highest in the year 2008, but over the years it remains low. The zerivable turnover rates over 5 year's data indicate that cash collection process of the company s not good. So the company should be efficient in maintaining good cash collection process.

## enge Number of dav's receivable outstanding:

Table 4: Average Number of day's receivable outstanding

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receivable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover | 44.46 | 10.82 | 10.23 | 29.89 | 26.92 |
| No of Days | 8 | 34 | 36 | 12 | 14 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 310.96 | 5.73 | -65.77 | 11.05 |

Source: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

wwer the average number of day's receivable outstanding, better it is for the firm. Which aticate receivable is collected quickly. In 2008, average number of days receivable outstanding ass approximately 8 days which is the lowest and the best achieved in five years. This means in 2008 the company takes 8 days on an average to complete one receivable turnover process i.e. from sale of goods to collection of receivables. The highest number of days to complete one eceivable turnover process was approximately 36 days in 2010 . It means the firm requires 36 uys to collect the receivables after sale of goods.
3) Payable Turnover Ratio

Table 5: Payable Turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| minventory | $572,939,213$ | $596,341,076$ | $614,271,454$ | $661,298,258$ | $714,569,029$ |
| mable | 0 | $-36,418,608$ | $35,359,798$ | $273,605,197$ | $47,744,003$ |
| -arnover ratio | $250,399,967$ | $134,942,100$ | $190,139,987$ | $288,030,993$ | $212,613,525$ |
| Rate (\%) | 2.29 | 4.15 | 3.42 | 3.25 | 3.59 |

Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Tike the inventory turnover ratio, payable turnover ratio measures how frequently the payables are turned over in a year. Lower the ratio better it is for the firm. In five years scenario, in 2008 the firm has lower long term debt. Which indicates the firm's solvency regarding conduct the firm's operation. But in 2009 the company has the highest payable turnover ratio which is 4.15 .

Table 6: Average Number of day's payable outstanding

| Kear | 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Payable Turnover | 2.29 | 4.15 | 3.42 | 3.25 | 3.59 |
| Ho of Days | 160 | 88 | 107 | 112 | 102 |
| Srowth Rate (\%) | - | -45 | 21 | 5 | -9 |

Sisre: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


In case of average number of day's payables outstanding reflects the number of days needed to amplete on payable turnover process. In 2008, the ratio is the highest with 160 days to complete ine payable turnover process, which is sufficient to get more time to pay the payable and get nure time to use it. In 2009 the numbers of days are lowest of value 88 .

Table 7: Total Asset Turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $651,097,600$ | $693,496,591$ | $724,013,901$ | $781,963,868$ | $821,574,671$ |
| a deset | $1,060,495,486$ | $1,037,884,527$ | $1,625,967,136$ | $1,959,751,944$ | $1,901,689,919$ |
| Naset turnover Ratio | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| ar rate (\%) | - | 8.83 | -33.36 | -10.39 | 8.27 |

arsc: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The total asset turnover has hit the lowest 0.40 x in 2011 and highest 0.67 x in 2009 . As the total esset turnover ratio is highest in 2009 this high ratio indicates firm generates more sales by more eficiently use the asset. This is highly happened in 2009 compare to other years. So it indicates that firm is managing the asset efficiently. But in other years firm's ratio is not so high which indicates firm could not mange the asset efficiently.

## 5) Fixed Turnover Ratio

Table 8: Fixed Asset Turnover

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asset | $651,097,600$ | $693,496,591$ | $724,013,901$ | $781,963,868$ | $821,574,671$ |
|  | $515,143,773$ | $480,478,403$ | $1,059,223,650$ | $1,052,438,559$ | $994,632,571$ |
| Rate (\%) | 1.26 | 1.44 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.83 |

se: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

ratio measures the efficiency of capital investment. The higher the ratio, the better it is. According to the graph the fixed asset turnover ratio increased from 0.74 to 0.83 , in 2012. This means in 2012, for taka 1 change in fixed asset sales generated is taka 0.83 . The highest in five fears was the 1.44 in 2009 and the lowest fixed asset turnover ratio of 0.68 in 2010 . Since then te trend shows, slowly the ratio have been increasing from 2010.
3) Liquidity Ratios: Liquidity means the ability of the firm to use its current assets to pay its short term obligations. Short-term creditors are more concern about the liquidity analysis of a firm, because they want to know that whether a firm has ability to pay its short term obligation in-time or not.

1) Operating Cycle

Table 9: Operating Cycle

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No of Days Inventory in <br> stock | 310 | 276 | 289 | 419 | 413 |
| Days Sales Outstanding | 8 | 34 | 36 | 12 | 14 |
| Operating Cycle | 319 | 310 | 325 | 432 | 426 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -2.81 | 4.81 | 32.96 | -1.28 |

Source: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Tperating cycle of a firm is the sum of the number of days it takes to sell inventory and the number of days until the resulting receivable are converted to cash. The lower the number of tays is, the better the firm is. Sonargaon textile had lowest number of days in operation cycle in ear 2009 and it has highest number of days in operation cycle in 2009 which is 2011 days.

## Cash Cycle

Table 10: Cash Cycle

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Cycle | 319 | 310 | 325 | 432 | 426 |
| Days Payable Outstanding | 160 | 88 | 107 | 112 | 102 |
| Cash Cycle | 159 | 222 | 218 | 319 | 324 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 39.34 | -1.79 | 46.55 | 1.60 |

Source: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

cash cycle captures the interrelationship of sales, collections and trade credit in a manner ter the individual numbers may not. The shorter the cycle, the more efficient the firm's peration and cash management; longer cycles, on the other hand, may be indicative of cash borffalls and increased financing cost. Sonargaon textile has lowest number of days in cash acle in 2008 which is 159 days. In 2012 financing cost of Sonargaon textile was more as it has te highest 324 days in their cash cycle.

## 3) Current Ratio

## Table 11: Current Ratio

| 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ant Assets Liabilities | $555,936,211$ | $542,388,906$ | $461,064,136$ | $654,799,985$ | $469,014,594$ |
| art Ratio | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1.23 | 1.39 | 1.93 |
| art Rate (\%) | - | 4.76 | 19.61 | 12.73 | 39.57 |

$\geq$ Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

e current ratio measures ability of the company to pay its current liability using its current eet. The higher the ratio the better is the firm's liquidity position. Throughout the four years the IIm's current ratio was increasing from 0.98 to 1.93 . This means for every taka current liability te company more current asset compare to the previous year. But in the year 2012 Sonargaon $=$ faced the highest Current ratio.

## Quick Ratio

Table 12: Quick Ratio

| Ler | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Irrent Assets | $545,351,713$ | $557,406,124$ | $566,743,486$ | $907,313,385$ | $907,057,348$ |
| Iarent Liabilities | $555,936,211$ | $542,388,906$ | $461,064,136$ | $654,799,985$ | $469,014,594$ |
| mentories | $487,325,468$ | $450,906,860$ | $486,266,658$ | $759,871,855$ | $807,615,858$ |
| Zuick Ratio | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| Ircwth Rate (\%) | - | 88.12 | -11.11 | 29.00 | -5.84 |

[^3]
ratio measures the ability of the firm to pay its current liability using current asset without epending of inventory and prepaid expenses. Over five years the highest is in 2011 with 0.23 $\pm$ to payout 1 taka liability the firm has 0.23 taka current asset without depending on inventory nd prepaid expense. The liquidity position is the weakest in 2008. The quick ratio over the years is in a volatile position.

## 5) Cash Ratio

Table 13: Cash Ratio

| 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $2,783,732$ | $1,796,564$ | 632,577 | $3,129,830$ | 519,340 |
| Ratio | 0.005 | 0.003 | $0.3,064,136$ | $654,799,985$ | $469,014,594$ |
| Rabilities | $555,936,211$ | $542,388,906$ | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.001 |

wirce: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Ia case of quick ratio we depend on accounts receivable. Here after including only cash and cash दqivalents the ratio has further gone down compared to quick ratio, hitting the lowest in 2012 with 0.001 x and highest in 2008 with 0.005 x . This means in 2008 , for every taka of current lability there was 0.005 taka of cash and cash equivalents available. This raises a huge question me the firm's liquidity position because Sonargaon textile is in a volatile position.
C) Solvency Ratios: The analysis of firm capital structure is necessary to understand long term risk and return prospect.

1) Debt to Capital

Table 14: Debt to Capital

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ral Debt | $908,746,755$ | $880,720,683$ | $845,546,137$ | $999,127,489$ | $988,482,821$ |
| ral Capital | $1,060,495,486$ | $1,037,884,527$ | $1,625,967,136$ | $1,959,751,945$ | $1,901,689,919$ |
| ert to Capital Ratio | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.52 |
| rwth Rate (\%) | - | -0.97 | -38.72 | -1.96 | 1.96 |

surse: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

is ratio measures the proportion of the capital supplied by the creditors and dependence of the Iim on external borrowing. This ratio has been decreasing at 2009. Over the five years the firm Is a decreased ratio, it means the company they have tried to manage solvency of the firm. Woreover the firm's dependency on external borrowing lies between $50 \%-80 \%$ over the last 5 ears.
2) Debt to Equity

Table 15: Debt to Equity

| 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Debt | $908,746,755$ | $880,720,683$ | $845,546,137$ | $999,127,489$ | $988,482,821$ |
| Equity | $151,748,731$ | $157,163,844$ | $780,420,999$ | $960,624,456$ | $913,207,098$ |
| to Equity Ratio | 5.99 | 5.60 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.08 |
| math Rate (\%) | - | -6.42 | -80.67 | -4.00 | 4.07 |

Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

ratio measures for every 1 taka of equity how much liability the shareholders bear. This ratio s been quite stable from 2008-2012. In 2012 for every taka of equity shareholders bear 1.08 ta as liability. This means the risk beared by shareholders decreased in 2012. That means tareholders have to bear less liability.

## Interest Coverage Ratio

Table 16: Interest Coverage Ratio

| Ear | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| जा | 56,827,447 | 75,295,793 | 85,977,016 | 99,895,568 | 95,470,059 |
| trest Expense | 64,016,716 | 62,098,957 | 66,439,636 | 78,348,972 | 85,611,306 |
| mrest Coverage Ratio | 0.89 | 1.21 | 1.29 | 1.28 | 1.12 |
| Iicurth Rate (\%) | - | -98.79 | -98.71 | -98.72 | -98.88 |

roe: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio, often referred to as the Times interest earned ratio, measures the protection available D creditors as the extent to which earnings available for interest cover interest expense. In 2008 Sonargaon Textile had the lowest interest coverage ratio 0.89 and in 2010 the company has the lighest interest coverage ratio of value 1.29.

## CFO to Debt Ratio

## Table 17: CFO to Debt Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CFO | $99,966,293$ | $101,601,204$ | $117,819,476$ | $44,380,250$ | $-68,630,318$ |
| Total Debt | $908,746,755$ | $880,720,683$ | $845,546,137$ | $999,127,489$ | $988,482,821$ |
| CFO to Debt Ratio | 0.110 | 0.115 | 0.139 | 0.044 | -0.069 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 4.87 | 20.79 | -68.12 | -256.31 |

Source: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

ratio measures how much cash flow from operation is generated to pay out the creditors. Sunargaon Textile CFO (Cash Flow from Operation) to debt ratio is constantly below 1 which adicates that the debt is always higher than the cash flow generated from core operation. In the ear 2010 the ratio is the highest, this indicates in that year there was more cash outflow from the are operation.
D) Profitability Ratios: Any Shareholders or Equity Investor mostly concern with the profitability analysis of the firm, because of following three reasons:
L. To earn profit,

2To sustain profit, and
ITo increase profit.

1) Gross Margin

Table 18: Gross Margin

| Near | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $651,097,600$ | $693,496,591$ | $724,013,901$ | $781,963,868$ | $821,574,671$ |
| Iross Profit | $78,158,387$ | $97,155,515$ | $109,742,447$ | $120,665,610$ | $107,005,642$ |
| Iross Margin Ratio (\%) | 12.00 | 14.01 | 15.16 | 15.43 | 13.02 |
| Irowth Rate (\%) | - | 16.71 | 8.19 | 1.80 | -15.60 |

siurce: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio shows the relationship between the sale and manufacturing cost of the firm. The ratio indicates how efficiently the firm manages its cost of sale to generate higher profit. Therefore, Wigher the ratio better it is for the firm. Over the years the gross margins have increased with a litle fluctuation but at 2011 the margin has increased again at $15.43 \%$.
2) Operating Margin

Table 19: Operating Margin

| $=$ | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| es | 651,097,600 | 693,496,591 | 724,013,901 | 781,963,868 | 821,574,671 |
| berating Profit | 56,629,005 | 74,714,081 | 85,725,591 | 95,407,693 | 81,709,479 |
| Hating Margin Ratio (\%) | 8.70 | 10.77 | 11.84 | 12.20 | 9.95 |
| weth Rate (\%) | - | 23.87 | 9.90 | 3.05 | -18.49 |

re: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

measures the profitability of the company from firm's central operation. At 2009 ratio has thown increase, but again it is decreases in 2012. These indicate the firm generates $9.95 \%$ profit fhom its central operation in 2012, which is lower over the five years.

## 3) Pretax Margin

Table 20: Pretax Margin

| = | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 651,097,600 | 693,496,591 | 724,013,901 | 781,963,868 | 821,574,671 |
| Tr | -7,189,269 | 13,196,836 | 19,537,380 | 21,546,596 | 9,858,753 |
| rax Margin (\%) | -1.10 | 1.90 | 2.70 | 2.76 | 1.20 |
| Iowth Rate (\%) | - | -272.34 | 41.81 | 2.11 | -56.45 |

surce: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This measures the firm's profitability without considering the tax structure. Over the years the $n$ 's pretax margin has increased except in 2012. In 2012 the pretax margin was $1.20 \%$, which tas negative growth rate compared to previous year.
4) Profit Margim

Table 21: Profit Margin

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $651,097,600$ | $693,496,591$ | $724,013,901$ | $781,963,868$ | $821,574,671$ |
| Wet Income | $-7,389,269$ | $10,375,113$ | $15,436,880$ | $16,523,457$ | $7,111,921$ |
| Profit Margin (\%) | -1.13 | 1.50 | 2.13 | 2.11 | 0.87 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -231.82 | 42.52 | -0.89 | -59.03 |

Sirue: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

profitability after considering tax and capital structure including all other expenses is neasured by net profit margin. This indicates the firm's overall profitability net of all expenses. The ratio has been Volatile over the last five years. Sonargaon textile has highest profit value in ear 2011 the value is $2.11 \%$.
5) Return on Asset

Table 22: Return on Asset

| Perr | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iral Asset | 1,060,495,486 | 1,037,884,527 | 1,625,967,136 | 1,959,751,944 | 1,901,689,919 |
| ter income | -7,389,269 | 10,375,113 | 15,436,880 | 16,523,457 | 7,111,921 |
| Ca/\%) | -0.70 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.37 |
| Imorth Rate (\%) | - | -243.47 | -5.03 | -11.19 | -55.64 |

Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures two things: 1.the management's ability to utilize the firm's profit and 2 . The terurn available to all capital providers.
-igher ratio will indicate the firm is earning more return which will go to the investors. Sonargaon textile return on asset ratio has been going down from 2009, which is lowest of $0.37 \%$ in 2012. Which means for every one taka of total asset the income generated if 0.37 taka.

Return on Equity

Table 23: Return on Equity

| Har | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tatal Equity | $151,748,731$ | $157,163,844$ | $780,420,999$ | $960,624,456$ | $913,207,098$ |
| Ket Income | $-7,389,269$ | $10,375,113$ | $15,436,880$ | $16,523,457$ | $7,111,921$ |
| ace (\%) | -4.87 | 6.60 | 1.98 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 2}$ | 0.78 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -235.57 | -70.04 | -13.04 | -54.72 |

arce: Annual Report of Sonargaon Textile Limited (2008-2012)

s ratio measures the return available to all equity holders. The income in this calculation can $x$ income before or after tax but it must be the income after considering the interest payment. Ercept 2009 the ratio is decreasing over the last five years. In 2009 return on equity of Sunargaon textile is the highest, $6.60 \%$.

## Chapter: 7 <br> CMC-KAMAI

Textile's Performance Analysis

I2 this Chapter the performance of CMC-KAMAL Textile has been analyzed by calculating taivity, Liquidity, Solvency and Profitability ratios by collecting information from their annual port (2008-2012).
4) Activity Ratios: Activity ratios describe the relationship between the firm's level of operations (usually defined as sales) and the assets needed to sustain operating activities. The higher the ratio, the more efficient the firm's operations, as relatively fewer assets are required to support a given level of operations (sales).

1) Inventory Turnover Ratio

Table 1: Inventory turnover

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| It Soods Sold | 145,841,375 | 177,912,560 | 366,068,421 | 400,161,495 | 418,572,526 |
| maries | 62,968,100 | 81,337,627 | 95,299,788 | 135,692,738 | 152,830,922 |
| mory turnover Ratio | 2.32 | 2.19 | 3.84 | 2.95 | 2.74 |
| me Zate (\%) | - | -5.56 | 75.61 | -23.23 | -7.13 |

surce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Inventory turnover ratio measures the number of times inventory has been turned over in a year. This in turn means higher the ratio better it is for the company. Higher ratio means inventory does not remain in stock for long, it is sold quickly. Though the Company's inventory turnover
mis is low, but it is increasing over the year from 2008 to 2012. So we can easily understand CMC-KAMAL textile has tried to manage their inventory turnover process in an efficient so that they can quickly sell the finished goods without remaining the inventory in the archouse.

## trerage Number of day's inventory in stock:

## Table 2: Average Number of day's inventory in stock

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Inventory turnover Ratio | 2.32 | 2.19 | 3.84 | 2.95 | 2.74 |
| No of Days | 158 | 167 | 95 | 124 | 133 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 5.89 | -43.06 | 30.25 | 7.68 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The impact of lower inventory turnover ratio reflects in average number of day's inventory in stock. Lower the ratio better. According to the data in 2009 it is higher, due to lower inventory turnover ratio, but over the time it is decreasing, due to increasing inventory turnover ratio. i.e. approximately 167 days in 2009 the highest and in 2010 it is 95 days the lowest. So over the time
became efficient to manage frequent inventory movement and completing production mocess quickly, as well as selling the goods quickly.
2) Receivable Turnover Ratio

Table 3: Receivable Turnover

| - | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Es | 162,999,801 | 207,453,709 | 434,207,010 | 468,240,190 | 513,181,307 |
| C-7eceivable | 4,538,333 | 10,182,282 | 26,222,248 | 7,865,104 | 0 |
| mevable Turnover Ratio | 35.92 | 20.37 | 16.56 | 59.53 | 0.00 |
| evch Rate (\%) | - | -43.27 | -18.73 | 259.53 | -100.00 |

Siurce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The receivable turnover ratio is same as the inventory turnover ratio. It measures how frequently receivables are turned over in a year. Higher this ratio is better. The graph shows that in 2008 it collected its cash efficiently and maintained a better cash collection policy but there has been decrease in coming years which makes it easy to conduct operation. In 2011 CMC-KAMAL textile has the highest Accounts receivable turnover which is Of value 59.53.

Table 4: Average Number of dav's receivable outstanding

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receivable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turnover | 35.92 | 20.37 | 16.56 | 59.53 | 0.00 |
| No of Days | 10 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 0 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 76.28 | 23.04 | -72.19 | -100.00 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Lower the average number of day's receivable outstanding, better it is for the firm. Which indicate receivable is collected quickly. In 2011, average number of days receivable outstanding was approximately 6 days which is the lowest and the best achieved in five years. This means in 2011 the company takes 6 days on an average to complete one receivable turnover process i.e. from sale of goods to collection of receivables. The highest number of days to complete one receivable turnover process was approximately 22 days in 2010 . It means the firm required 22 days to collect the receivables after sale of goods.
3) Payable Turnover

Table 5: Payable Turnover

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 145,841,375 | 177,912,560 | 366,068,421 | 400,161,495 | 418,572,526 |
| z in Inventory | 0 | 18,369,527 | 13,962,161 | 40,392,950 | 17,138,184 |
| mable | 15,309,065 | 9,061,527 | 8,661,822 | 11,734,810 | 0 |
| mete Turnover ratio | 9.53 | 21.66 | 43.87 | 37.54 | 0.00 |
| - Rate (\%) | - | 127.38 | 102.55 | -14.43 | -100.00 |

surce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Like the inventory turnover ratio, payable turnover ratio measures how frequently the payables are turned over in a year. Lower the ratio better it is for the firm. In five years scenario, at the beginning 5 years the firm has lower long term debt. Which indicates the firm's solvency regarding conduct the firm's operation. But in 2010 the company has the highest payable turnover ratio which is 43.87 .

Table 6: Average Number of day's payable outstanding

| Tear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Payable Turnover | 9.53 | 21.66 | 43.87 | 37.54 | 0.00 |
| Mo of Days | 38 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 0 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -56 | -51 | 17 | -100 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


In case of average number of day's payables outstanding reflects the number of days needed to complete on payable turnover process. In 2008, the ratio was the highest with 38 days to complete one payable turnover process, which is sufficient to get more time to pay the payable and get more time to use it. In 2010 the no of days are lowest of value 8.

Table 7: Total Asset Turnover

| r | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 162,999,801 | 207,453,709 | 434,207,010 | 468,240,190 | 513,181,307 |
| misset | 477,786,086 | 528,038,688 | 953,302,203 | 1,644,251,414 | 1,644,094,569 |
| mi Asset turnover Ratio | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.31 |
| mowth rate (\%) | - | 15.16 | 15.93 | -37.48 | 9.61 |

Surce: Anaual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The total asset turnover has hit the lowest 0.28 x in 2011 and highest 0.46 x in 2010 . As the total asset turnover ratio is highest in 2010 this high ratio indicates firm generates more sales by more efficiently use the asset. This is highly happened in 2010 compare to other years.

## 5) Fixed Turnover Ratio

Table 8: Fixed Asset Turnover

| ( | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| es | $162,999,801$ | $207,453,709$ | $434,207,010$ | $468,240,190$ | $513,181,307$ |
| Asset | $403,268,706$ | $370,497,374$ | $797,417,772$ | $850,392,682$ | $1,094,290,573$ |
| Asset Turnover Ratio | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.47 |
| wth Rate $(\%)$ | - | 38.53 | -2.75 | 1.12 | -14.83 |

Surce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio measures the efficiency of capital investment. The higher the ratio, the better it is. According to the graph the fixed asset turnover ratio decreased from 0.55 to 0.47 , in 2012 . This means in 2012, for taka 1 change in fixed asset sales generated is taka 0.47 . The highest in five years was the 0.56 and the lowest fixed asset turnover ratio of 0.40 in 2008 . Throughout 5 years the company is in a volatile situation.
B) Liquidity Ratios: Liquidity means the ability of the firm to use its current assets to pay its short term obligations. Short-term creditors are more concern about the liquidity analysis of a firm, because they want to know that whether a firm has ability to pay its short term obligation in-time or not.

## 1) Operating Cycle

Table 9: Operating Cycle

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No of Days Inventory in stock | 158 | 167 | 95 | 124 | 133 |
| Days Sales Outstanding | 10 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 0 |
| Operating Cycle | 168 | 185 | 117 | 130 | 133 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 10.15 | -36.65 | 10.97 | 2.59 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


Operating cycle of a firm is the sum of the number of days it takes to sell inventory and the number of days until the resulting receivable are converted to cash. The lower the number of days is, the better the firm is. CMC-KAMAL Textile had lowest number of days in operation cycle in year 2010 and it has highest number of days in operation cycle in 2009 which is 185 days.

## 2) Cash Cycle

## Table 10: Cash Cycle

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operating Cycle | 168 | 185 | 117 | 130 | 133 |
| Days Payable Outstanding | 38 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 0 |
| Cash Cycle | 129 | 168 | 109 | 120 | 133 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 29.74 | -35.25 | 10.51 | 10.89 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The cash cycle captures the interrelationship of sales, collections and trade credit in a manner that the individual numbers may not. The shorter the cycle, the more efficient the firm's operation and cash management; longer cycles, on the other hand, may be indicative of cash shortfalls and increased financing cost. CMC-KAMAL textile has lowest number of days in cash sycle in 2010 which is 109 days. In 2009 financing cost of CMC-KAMAL textile has more ratio as it had the highest 168 days in their cash cycle.
3) Current Ratio

Table 11: Current Ratio

| m | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 rrent Assets | 74,517,380 | 157,541,314 | 155,884,431 | 790,419,840 | 549,803,996 |
| Irrent Liabilities | 82,914,655 | 227,187,752 | 234,260,341 | 201,035,272 | 151,492,454 |
| Irent Ratio | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 3.93 | 3.63 |
| Iowth Rate (\%) | - | -22.84 | -4.04 | 490.86 | -7.69 |

Sauce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The current ratio measures ability of the company to pay its current liability using its current asset. The higher the ratio the better is the firm's liquidity position. Throughout the five years the firm's current ratio was increasing from 0.90 to 3.93 . This means for every taka current liability the company more current asset compare to the previous year. Such as in 2011 with 3.93 taka of current asset it pays out 1 taka of current liability.
4) Quick Ratio

## Table 12: Quick Ratio

| Hear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Current Assets | $74,517,380$ | $157,541,314$ | $155,884,431$ | $790,419,840$ | $549,803,996$ |
| Current Liabilities | $82,914,655$ | $227,187,752$ | $234,260,341$ | $201,035,272$ | $151,492,454$ |
| mventories | $62,968,100$ | $81,337,627$ | $95,299,788$ | $135,692,738$ | $152,830,922$ |
| Quick Ratio | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 3.26 | 2.62 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 140.81 | -22.90 | 1159.29 | -19.54 |

siarce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the ability of the firm to pay its current liability using current asset without depending of inventory and prepaid expenses. Over five years the highest is in 2011 with 3.26 Le. to payout 1 taka liability the firm has 3.26 taka current asset without depending on inventory and prepaid expense. The liquidity position is the weakest in 2008 . The quick ratio over the years las increased, which indicates firm's liquidity position is good.

## 5) Cash Ratio

Table 13: Cash Ratio

| Tear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | 827,623 | $6,049,751$ | $14,199,236$ | $639,240,226$ | $368,505,501$ |
| Current Liabilities | $82,914,655$ | $227,187,752$ | $234,260,341$ | $201,035,272$ | $151,492,454$ |
| Cash Ratio | 0.010 | 0.027 | 0.061 | 3.180 | 2.433 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 166.78 | 127.62 | 5145.97 | -23.50 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


In case of quick ratio we depend on accounts receivable. Here after including only cash and cash equivalents the ratio has further gone down compared to quick ratio, hitting the lowest in 2008 with 0.010 x and highest in 2011 with 3.180 x . This means in 2008 , for every taka of current liability there was 0.010 taka of cash and cash equivalents available. This raises a huge question on the firm's liquidity position. But it rises over the year and in 2012 it raised a little indicating improvement.
C) Solvency Ratios: The analysis of firm capital structure is necessary to understand long term risk and return prospect.

## 1) Debt to Capital

Table 14: Debt to Capital

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Debt | $305,786,086$ | $\mathbf{3 2 9 , 4 4 0 , 7 0 2}$ | $292,736,921$ | $271,881,886$ | $170,445,421$ |
| Total Capital | $477,786,086$ | $528,038,688$ | $953,302,103$ | $1,644,251,414$ | $1,644,094,569$ |
| Debt to Capital Ratio | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.10 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -2.52 | -50.78 | -46.15 | -37.30 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the proportion of the capital supplied by the creditors and dependence of the firm on external borrowing. This ratio has been decreasing at 2009. Over the five years the firm has a decreased ratio, but finally they háve tried to manage solvency of the firm. But moreover the firm's dependency on external borrowing lies between $20 \%-60 \%$ over the last 5 years.
2) Debt to Equity

Table 15: Debt to Equity

| ar | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tal Debt | $305,786,086$ | $329,440,702$ | $292,736,921$ | $271,881,886$ | $170,445,421$ |
| tal Equity | $172,000,000$ | $198,597,986$ | $660,565,182$ | $1,372,369,528$ | $1,473,649,148$ |
| Pet to Equity Ratio | 1.78 | 1.66 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.12 |
| Irowth Rate (\%) | - | -6.69 | -73.28 | -55.30 | -41.62 |

Surce: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio measures for every 1 taka of equity how much liability the shareholders bear. This ratio has been decreased from 2008-2012. But finally in recent years they have tried to manage liability and in 2012 for every taka of equity shareholders bear 0.12 taka as liability. This means the risk beared by shareholders is lowest in 2012.
3) Interest Coverage Ratio

Table 16: Interest Coverage Ratio

| Kear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E3IT | $8,675,959$ | $22,010,680$ | $61,858,493$ | $92,510,454$ | $146,761,870$ |
| Interest Expense | $22,608,912$ | $1,662,634$ | $19,010,817$ | $21,794,871$ | $23,592,424$ |
| Interest Coverage Ratio | 0.38 | 13.24 | 3.25 | 4.24 | 6.22 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -86.76 | -96.75 | -95.76 | -93.78 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio, often referred to as the Times interest earned ratio, measures the protection available to creditors as the extent to which earnings available for interest cover interest expense. In 2008 CMC-KAMAL textile had the lowest interest coverage ratio 0.38 and in 2009 the company has the highest interest coverage ratio of value 13.24.

## 4) CFO to Debt Ratio

Table 17: CFO to Debt Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CFO | $4,697,819$ | $6,470,227$ | $24,366,382$ | $54,188,542$ | $84,550,311$ |
| Total Debt | $305,786,086$ | $329,440,702$ | $292,736,921$ | $271,881,886$ | $170,445,421$ |
| CFO to Debt Ratio | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.083 | 0.199 | 0.496 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 27.84 | 323.81 | 139.45 | 148.89 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures how much cash flow from operation is generated to pay out the creditors. CMC-KAMAL Textile CFO to debt ratio is constantly below 1 which indicates that the debt is always higher than the cash flow generated from core operation. In the year 2008 the ratio is lowest, this indicates in that year there was little cash outflow from the core operation.
D) Profitability Ratios: Any Shareholders or Equity Investor mostly concern with the profitability analysis of the firm, because of following three reasons:
To earn profit,
2. To sustain profit, and
3. To increase profit.

1) Gross Margin

Table 18: Gross Margin

| Tear | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $162,999,801$ | $207,453,709$ | $434,207,010$ | $468,240,190$ | $513,181,307$ |
| Gross Profit | $17,158,426$ | $29,541,149$ | $68,138,589$ | $68,078,695$ | $94,608,781$ |
| Gross Margin Ratio (\%) | 10.53 | 14.24 | 15.69 | 14.54 | 18.44 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | 35.27 | 10.20 | -7.35 | 26.80 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The ratio shows the relationship between the sale and manufacturing cost of the firm. The ratio indicates how efficiently the firm manages its cost of sale to generate higher profit. Therefore, higher the ratio better it is for the firm. Over the years the gross margins have decreased with a little fluctuation but at 2012 the margin has increased again at $18.44 \%$.

## 2) Operating Margin

Table 19: Operating Margin

| ar | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ales | $162,999,801$ | $207,453,709$ | $434,207,010$ | $468,240,190$ | $513,181,307$ |
| Jerating Profit | $8,405,827$ | $20,853,691$ | $57,842,835$ | $49,543,990$ | $79,084,784$ |
| Jerating Margin Ratio (\%) | 5.16 | 10.05 | 13.32 | 10.58 | 15.41 |
| Frowth Rate (\%) | - | 94.93 | 32.52 | -20.57 | 45.65 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This measures the profitability of the company from firm's central operation. At 2009 ratio has shown increase, but again it is decreased in 2011. These indicate the firm generates $10.58 \%$ profit from its central operation in 2011, which is higher over the five years.
3) Margin before Interest and tax

Table 20: Margin before Interest and Tax

| $=$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ir | $162,999,801$ | $207,453,709$ | $434,207,010$ | $468,240,190$ | $513,181,307$ |
| egin before Interest \& Tax (\%) | $8,675,959$ | $22,010,680$ | $61,858,493$ | $92,510,454$ | $146,761,870$ |
| outh Rate (\%) | 5.32 | 10.61 | 14.25 | 19.76 | 28.60 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures profitability from the firms over all operation i.e. central and peripheral operation. In these five years the ratio hit the lowest of 5.32 in the year 2008 and highest of 28.60 in 2012 . This means in 2012, for every one taka of sales the company generated 28.60 taka of profit from both its central and peripheral operations.
4) Pretax Margin

Table 21: Pretax Margin

| wr | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sim$ | 162,999,801 | 207,453,709 | 434,207,010 | 468,240,190 | 513,181,307 |
| \#r | -13,932,953 | 20,348,046 | 42,847,676 | 70,715,583 | 123,169;446 |
| Ex Margin (\%) | -8.55 | 9.81 | 9.87 | 15.10 | 24.00 |
| Imowth Rate (\%) | - | -214.75 | 0.61 | 53.04 | 58.92 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This measures the firm's profitability without considering the tax structure. Over the years the firm's pretax margin has increased except in 2008. After these rise and fall, finally the ratio rose back again to $24 \%$ in 2012.

## 5) Profit Margin

## Table 22: Profit Margin

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $162,999,801$ | $207,453,709$ | $434,207,010$ | $468,240,190$ | $513,181,307$ |
| Net Income | $-33,096,664$ | $17,295,839$ | $36,420,525$ | $60,896,964$ | $104,718,512$ |
| Profit Margin (\%) | -20.30 | 8.34 | 8.39 | 13.01 | 20.41 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -141.06 | 0.61 | 55.05 | 56.90 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


The profitability after considering tax and capital structure including all other expenses is measured by net profit margin. This indicates the firm's overall profitability net of all expenses. The ratio has been increasing over the last five years. CMC-KAMAL Textile has highest profit value in year 2012 the value is $20.41 \%$
6) Return on Asset

## Table 23: Return on Asset

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Asset | $477,786,086$ | $528,038,688$ | $953,302,203$ | $1,644,251,414$ | $1,644,094,569$ |
| Net Income | $-33,096,664$ | $17,295,839$ | $36,420,525$ | $60,896,964$ | $104,718,512$ |
| ROA(\%) | -6.93 | 3.28 | 3.82 | 3.70 | 6.37 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -147.29 | 16.64 | -3.06 | 71.98 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures two things: 1.the management's ability to utilize the firm's profit and 2 . The return available to all capital providers.

Higher ratio will indicate the firm is earning more return which will go to the investors. CMCKAMAL Textile return on asset ratio has been going down at 2008 and again increase over the years, which is highest of $6.37 \%$ in 2012 . Which means for every one taka of total asset the income generated if 6.37 taka.
7) Return on Equity

Table 24: Return on Equity

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Equity | $172,000,000$ | $198,597,986$ | $660,565,182$ | $1,372,369,528$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 3 , 6 4 9 , 1 4 8}$ |
| Het Income | $-33,096,664$ | $17,295,839$ | $36,420,525$ | $60,896,964$ | $104,718,512$ |
| ROE (\%) | -19.24 | 8.71 | 5.51 | 4.44 | 7.11 |
| Growth Rate (\%) | - | -145.26 | -36.69 | -19.52 | 60.14 |

Source: Annual Report of CMC-KAMAL Textile Limited (2008-2012)


This ratio measures the return available to all equity holders. The income in this calculation can be income before or after tax but it must be the income after considering the interest payment. In 2008 CMC-KAMAL Textile has a negative Return on equity otherwise it holds a volatile position throughout the years.

Chapter: 8
Comparison of the Textiles' Performance

In the previous chapters the individual performances of each textile companies are shown, in this hapter for broader analysis comparative performances of the companies have been discussed.

## A) Activity Ratios

## 1) Inventory Turnover Ratio

Table 1: Inventory Turnover Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 2.29 | 3.15 | 2.12 | 4.17 | 4.47 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 1.01 | 0.87 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5}$ | 1.52 | 1.23 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 1.18 | 1.32 | 1.26 | 0.87 | 0.88 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 2.32 | 2.19 | 3.84 | 2.95 | 2.74 |



From the graph we can see that Square textile is in best position with 4.47 x . For inventory turnover ratio, higher the ratio betters the scenario. Therefore, Square textile completes its whole inventory turnover process approximately five times a year. Sonargaon Textile and Beximco Synthetics are below over five years and rests of the companies are in moderate position maintaining inventory turnover ratio.

Table 2: Average number of days Inventory in Stock

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 159 | 116 | 172 | 87 | 82 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 362 | 421 | 349 | 240 | 298 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 310 | 276 | 289 | 419 | 413 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 158 | 167 | 95 | 124 | 133 |



Most companies in the textile industry keep inventory in stock for approximately 150 days. In comparison to this from the graph we can see that Sonargaon textile is in worst position with highest number of day's inventory in stock i.e. 419 days which is explained by their lowest inventory turnover ratio. On the other hand, an Square Textile is in the best position with lowest number of days 82 days inventory in stock which explains their highest inventory turnover ratio.

## 2) Receivable Turnover Ratio

Table 3: Account Receivable Turnover Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 1.91 | 2.32 | 2.18 | 2.82 | 3.29 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 1.81 | 1.50 | 2.09 | 2.14 | 1.57 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 44.46 | 10.82 | 10.23 | 29.89 | 26.92 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 35.92 | 20.37 | 16.56 | 59.53 | 0.00 |



According to 5 years data, the trend of receivable turnover ratio is increasing position in CMCLAMAL Textile. But in Beximco Synthetics, the ratio of receivable turnover is fluctuating and has low value.

For the receivable turnover ratio, higher ratio is good for the company. Most of the company's receivable ratios have a growing trend and it indicates the company's credit policy maintains the sales level. In Beximco Synthetics, the ratio indicates that the level of investment in receivables is not sufficient to maintain the firm's sales level.

Table 4: Average Number of day's receivable outstanding

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 192 | 157 | 167 | 129 | 111 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 201 | 243 | 175 | 171 | 232 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 8 | 34 | 36 | 12 | 14 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 10 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 0 |



From 2008-2012, the number of days receivable outstanding is increasing for Beximco Synthetics. But in CMC-KAMAL Textile the numbers of days are decreasing over the year. In Beximco, the number of days is very much higher than other three companies.

As we know that the low average number of days receivable outstanding (DSO) is good for company. For CMC-KAMAL Textile, it can be said that gradually the company can collect their credit money within a fewer days. In earlier year, Sonargaon Textile could collect their credit money within fewer days and gradually the days are increasing.
3) Payable Turnover

Table 5: Payable Turnover

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 2.31 | 3.21 | 3.95 | 3.40 | 3.96 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 14.49 | 8.71 | 11.36 | 17.34 | 18.98 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 2.29 | 4.15 | 3.42 | 3.25 | 3.59 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 9.53 | 21.66 | 43.87 | 37.54 | 0.00 |



The lower ratio of payable indicates the better condition of a company. Square and Sonargaon textile both company have approximately same ratio which indicates that they make purchase from assets rather than from on credit. As they have low accounts payable, their companies are efficient in making asset.

## Average Number of day's payable outstanding:

Table 6: Average Number of day's payable outstanding

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 158 | 114 | 92 | 107 | 92 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 25 | 42 | 32 | 21 | 19 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 160 | 88 | 107 | 112 | 102 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 38 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 0 |



From 2008-2012 data, we can see that Average Number of day's payable outstanding ratio was fluctuated over time to time for the five companies.

Average number of day's payable outstanding or DPO is an efficiency ratio that measures the average number of days a company takes to pay its suppliers. Here, we find that CMC-KAMAL Textile takes less number of days to pay their credit money rather than Square and Sonargaon footwear takes.
4) Total Asset Turnover Ratio

Table 7: Total Asset Turnover

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 0.64 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.31 |



For Square, the total asset turnover was 0.64 in 2008 and for 2009-2012; it either decreased or increased slightly. Sonargaon Textile followed decreasing trend and on the other hand the ratio of CMC-KAMAL Textile is lower than the three companies.

Total asset turnover ratio indicates how a company using assets efficiently against their sales. After analyzing the 5 years data it can say that, CMC-KAMAL Textile uses lower amount of assets to make their sale but Square uses assets higher rather than CMC-KAMAL Textile to make their sale.

## 5) Fixed Asset Turnover Ratio

## Table 8: Fixed Asset Turnover

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 1.64 | 2.02 | 2.51 | 3.78 | 3.32 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.62 | 0.53 | 0.69 | 1.06 | 0.99 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 1.26 | 1.44 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.83 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.47 |



As have been already mentioned this ratio indicates how much sales a company can generate with the given level of fixed asset. Higher the ratio, better it is. For this industry which sets the bench mark at 1.56 x , Square textiles is maintaining the best position with 3.78 x and the worst ratio is of both Beximco Synthetics and CMC-KAMAL Textile. Both the companies maintain ratio bellow 1 . Rests are maintaining better or equal to the bench mark position.

## B) Liquidity Ratios

1) Operating Cycle

Table 9: Operating Cycle

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 351 | 273 | 339 | 217 | 193 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 563 | 664 | 524 | 410 | 314 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 319 | 310 | 325 | 432 | 426 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 168 | 185 | 117 | 130 | 133 |



For CMC-KAMAL Textile, Operating Cycle in 2012 was 133 days and it fluctuated over the year of 2009-2012. Same trend followed by Square and Sonargaon Textile.

Operating Cycle means the number of days a firm takes to sell inventory. Here, we can find that Sonargaon Textile takes much longer time rather than Square textile and Beximco Synthetics take.

## 2) Cash Cycle

Table 10: Cash Cycle

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 193 | 159 | 247 | 110 | 101 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 538 | 622 | 492 | 389 | 294 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 159 | 222 | 218 | 319 | 324 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 129 | 168 | 109 | 120 | 133 |



For Square Textile, cash cycle in 2008 was 193 days and then it followed decreasing \& increasing trend. Same trend followed by Sonargaon Textile and CMC-KAMAL Textile. But in 2008, Beximco textile's cash cycle was 538 days and then it followed decreasing trend.
By Cash Cycle, we mean the number of days a firm takes to convert the receivables into cash. Here, we find that Beximco Textile takes much longer time rather than other companies take.

## 3) Current Ratio

## Table 11: Current Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 1.21 | 1.36 | 1.43 | 1.58 | 2.11 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 2.09 | 2.01 | 1.92 | 2.36 | 1.72 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1.23 | 1.39 | 1.93 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 3.93 | 3.63 |



After analyzing the data, we can see that the current ratio for Square Textile and Sonargaon Textile are consistent throughout the 5 years but Beximco Synthetics and CMC-KAMAL Textile have volatile curret ratio. It implies that Square Textile and Sonargaon Textile are able to pay off its current liabilities with its current assets more efficiently whereas Beximco Synthetics and CMC-KAMAL Textile are not capable enough to pay off its current liabilities with its current assets. Thus, it shows that Square Textile and Sonargaon Textile have a better liquidity position rather than Beximco Synthetics and CMC-KAMAL Textile.
4) Quick Ratio

Table 12: Quick Ratio

| Year | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 1.11 | 1.47 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.77 | 1.12 | 0.86 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 3.26 | 2.62 |



After analyzing the data, we can see that the Quick ratios of Shorargaon Textile is less than 1.2 which implies that the company is unable to pay of its current liabilities with the quick assets it has. So, the company has lower liquidity position in terms of their quick assets but other three companies have better position specially in the recent years.

## 5) Cash Ratio

Table 13: Cash Ratio

| Year | 2008 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 0.019 | 0.033 | 0.031 | 0.119 | 0.183 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.021 | 0.003 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.010 | 0.027 | 0.061 | 3.180 | 2.433 |



This ratio indicates the most liquid asset of the company (cash and cash equivalents) against its current liability. The best position compared to industry average is maintained by CMCKAMAL textile i.e. 2.43 x and worst is of Beximco Synthetics and Sonargaon textile with lowest ratio. The overall outlook of the ratio of all companies is poor as the ratios are really weak.

## C)Solvency Ratios

1) Debt to Capital Ratio

Table 14: Debt to Capital

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.34 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.52 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.10 |



As we know that Debt to capital ratio indicates that how much a company collects their capital from their debt sources. After analyzing 5 years data, we can say that capital collection of all the companies except Beximco Textile from their debt sources is gradually decreasing and their debt amount is approximately half of their capital sources. In Beximco, most of the year about 30\% capital is collected from debt sources and suddenly in 2012 their debt ratio is increased to $34 \%$ which indicates that now a day the company collects high amount of capital from debt sources.

## 2) Debt to Equity Ratio

Table 15: Debt to Equity

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 1.18 | 0.88 | 1.04 | 0.91 | 0.52 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.51 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 5.99 | 5.60 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.08 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile <br> Mills | 1.78 | 1.66 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.12 |



After analyzing the 5 years debt to equity ratio of three companies we can say that, Beximco Synthetics collects capital from equity sources more than debt as their ratio is low and it indicates the company can pay the most of the liabilities from their equity sources. On the other hand, most of the time in Square Textile company's debt ratio is higher than Beximco but lower than Sonargaon Textile and they cannot pay their most of the liabilities from their equity sources. From the ratio of Sonargaon, it can say that they pay their liabilities from their debt source as most of the year their ratio is high.

## 3) Interest Coverage Ratio

Table 16: Interest Coverage Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 3.21 | 3.48 | 9.58 | 5.17 | 10.19 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.38 | 2.63 | 1.76 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.89 | 1.21 | 1.29 | 1.28 | 1.12 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.38 | 13.24 | 3.25 | 4.24 | 6.22 |



From the above data, we can see that Square has high times interest earn. In Sonargaon, they have lower ratio. On the other hand, the ratio of Beximco Synthetics is very low than Square but higher than Sonargaon.

As we know that, higher times interest ratio is good for a company. So Square's ratio is good and it indicates that they give the high protection to their creditors. Sonargaon cannot give protection to their creditors as their ratio is very low. Other companies are giving moderate protection to their creditors.

## 4) CFO to Debt Ratio

Table 17: Interest Coverage Ratio

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 0.034 | 0.260 | 0.110 | 0.403 | 0.669 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.635 | 0.235 | 0.229 | 0.017 | 0.014 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 0.110 | 0.115 | 0.139 | 0.044 | -0.069 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.083 | 0.199 | 0.496 |



All the Textile Company's CFO to debt ratio are constantly below 1 which indicates that the debt is always higher than the cash flow generated from core operation. In the year 2012 Sonargaon Textile has a negative ratio, this indicates in that year there was no cash outflow from the core operation

## D) Profitability Ratios

## 1) Operating Margin

Table 18: Operating Margin

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 14.78 | 12.65 | 16.53 | 13.50 | 13.11 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 13.15 | 14.40 | 11.67 | 14.58 | 17.17 |
| Sonargaon Textile | 8.70 | 10.77 | 11.84 | 12.20 | 9.95 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | 5.16 | 10.05 | 13.32 | 10.58 | 15.41 |



We know that higher Operating margin gives analysts an idea of how much a company makes (before interest and taxes) on each dollar of sales. If a company's margin is increasing, it is earning more per dollar of sales. The higher the margin is better. So, among the four companies Square Textile and Beximco Synthetics' operating margin is high, CMC-KAMAL Textile's ratio is very much low and it indicates their sales is low.

## 2) Profit Margin

Table 19: Profit Margin

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 7.82 | 6.95 | 11.70 | 8.78 | 9.48 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 2.02 | 1.47 | 2.72 | 7.00 | 5.64 |
| Sonargaon Textile | -1.13 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ | 2.13 | 2.11 | 0.87 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | -20.30 | 8.34 | 8.39 | 13.01 | 20.41 |



A higher profit margin indicates a more profitable company that has better control over its costs compared to its competitors and after analyzing the data we see that in recent years CMCKAMAL Textile has better control over its cost compare to the Square. But for the Sonargaon Textile it can say that there have no very much control over its cost compare to the others three leading companies.

## 3) Return on Asset

Table 20: Return on Asset

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 5.00 | 5.60 | 8.88 | 9.31 | 10.26 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.63 | 0.40 | 0.96 | 3.51 | 2.41 |
| Sonargaon Textile | -0.70 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.37 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | -6.93 | 3.28 | 3.82 | 3.70 | 6.37 |



After analyzing the above data, we can say Square Textile uses highest percentage of asset compare to their close competitor CMC-KAMAL Textile. Square and CMC manages their assets efficiently to generate earnings. On the other hand, as Sonargaon Textile can't manage their asset to generate earning, so their return on asset was negative in year 2008.

## 4) Return on Equity

## Table 21: Return on Asset

| Year | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Square Textile | 11.15 | 10.55 | 18.10 | 17.80 | 15.62 |
| Beximco Synthetics | 0.94 | 0.57 | 1.36 | 5.09 | 3.65 |
| Sonargaon Textile | -4.87 | 6.60 | 1.98 | 1.72 | 0.78 |
| CMC-KAMAL Textile Mills | -19.24 | 8.71 | 5.51 | 4.44 | 7.11 |



As we know, the higher the ratio percentage, the more efficient management is in utilizing its equity base and the better return is to investors. So, among three companies Square is profitable company by comparing its net income to its average shareholders' equity as their ratio is higher than others three. Sonargaon's ratio indicates their management is less efficient in utilizing its equity than the Square. And Beximco, CMC textile are trying to be efficient.

## Chapter: 9

Recommendation \& Conclusion

## Recommendations

Textile is one of the oldest and most prolific industries in Bangladesh. Bangladesh is one of the leading exporters of ready-made garments in the world and earning nearly $76 \%$ of its foreign currency through exporting textiles and ready-made garments. But the major problems of these companies are, they are not working for better Research and Development for some years. They are not focusing to improve their technology and expand their market share. So the Textile Companies of Bangladesh should be aware of their inefficiency and try to correct them to benefit the economy of Bangladesh. After analysis of performance of leading Textile Companies the following aspects are recommended.
(i) There is possibility that textile companies can reduce waste and cost of production to maximize profit.
(ii) The companies can increase productivity by improving process performance and product quality to grow the textile industry.
(iii) New product, market and business ideas can be investigated for further expansion.
(iv) Tax revenue due to maximization of profit.
(v) Monitor and control textile business at national level,
(vi) Safeguard and secure our business interest at international level.
(vii) The industry is growing day by day. The financial performance of the industry is doing well as increasing the day. But for ours political unrest condition and environmental calamities is becoming a major constrain for this industry.
(viii) The companies also need to analyze their financial condition time to time and need to hold the solvency of them.
(ix) Companies also need to provide their best and transparent financial information to its shareholders because it will help the shareholder to invest in right and preferred company for further expansion of the company.
(x) Our infrastructural condition also plays a significant role by not helping to grow up the industry. So the Government should provide better infrastructure to help to grow the textile industry.

## Conclusion

The textile industry has played an important role in Bangladesh's economy for a long time. Currently, the textile industry in Bangladesh accounts for 45 percent of all industrial employment and contributes 5 percent to the total national income. The industry employs nearly 4 million.

A huge 78 percent of the country's export earnings come from textiles and apparel, according to the latest figures available. Bangladesh exports its apparel products worth nearly $\$ 5$ billion per year to the United States, European Union (EU), Canada and other countries of the world. It is the sixth largest apparel supplier to the United States and EU countries.

Major products exported from Bangladesh include polyester filament fabrics, man-made filament mixed fabrics, PV fabrics, viscose filament fabrics and man-made spun yarns. Major garments exported include knitted and woven shirts and blouses, trousers, skirts, shorts, jackets, sweaters and sportswear, among other fashion apparel.

Ratio Analysis is the basic tool of financial analysis and financial analysis itself is an important part of any business planning process as SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats), being the basic tool of the strategic analysis plays a vital role in a business planning process and no SWOT analysis would be complete without an analysis of companies' financial position. In this way Ratio Analysis is very important part of whole business strategic planning.

This report helps to explore my knowledge in this financial area that will help me to understand more about how I can know the performance of the industry as a student of finance. This report is also helping me to understand the financial condition and as well as how they will do in future. From this report an investor can see the overall picture of a company and he can take decision based on this report. The RMG sector greatly depend on this industry so an employer and government can take necessary steps to grow up the industry and also hold the industry in a good position.
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