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#### Abstract

: Wireless sensor network (WSN) consists of spatially dispersed and dedicated sensors for monitoring and recording the physical conditions of the environment and organizing the collected data at a central location. In most of the case wireless sensor network (WSN) measure environmental conditions such as temperature, sound, pollution levels, humidity, wind speed and direction, pressure, etc. In this paper we applied fault sensor node recovery algorithm to increase the life time of a WSN when few sensors are damaged or shut down due to technical problem or low level of battery power. This algorithm is based on the Grade diffusion algorithm combined with the quantum inspired evolutionary algorithm.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 About Wireless sensor network (WSN):

A WSN consists of anywhere from a few hundreds to thousands of sensor nodes. The sensor node equipment includes a radio transceiver along with an antenna, a microcontroller, an interfacing electronic circuit, and an energy source, usually a battery. The size of the sensor nodes can also range from the size of a shoe box to as small as the size of a grain of dust. As such, their prices also vary from a few pennies to hundreds of dollars depending on the functionality parameters of a sensor like energy consumption, computational speed rate, bandwidth, and memory. WSN is using for monitor physical or environmental conditions.

### 1.2 When fault has happened:

In sensors networks, every sensors node has limited wireless power for processing and transferring the live data to the base station or data collection center [1], [2], [3]. Every sensor networks usually contains lots of sensor nodes. Normally every sensor node has low level of battery of power that cannot be replenished. If the sensor node has low power or damaged ,wireless sensor network leaks will appear and the fault nodes will not able to transmit the data to the other nodes during transmission processing. In that case other nodes will be burdened [4].

### 1.3 Techniques of solving fault node recovery problem:

There are few techniques to solve FNR problem .The algorithm proposed in this paper which able to solve FNR problem .This algorithm based on GD algorithm combined with the QEA algorithm with the goal of replacing fewer sensor nodes that are damaged or have depleted batteries and of reusing the maximum number of routing paths .These optimizations will ultimately enhance the WSN life time and reduce sensor node replacement cost.

### 1.4 Objective of the Research:

We create a WSN which is the mimic of real WSN. We frequently create events in different sensor nodes which causes the depletion of the batteries .So after passing messages some nodes were shut down .Our objective is to create a WSN using GD algorithm and replacing fewer sensor nodes that are damaged or have depleted batteries and of reusing the maximum number of routing paths .These optimizations will ultimately enhance the WSN life time and reduce sensor node replacement cost.

### 1.5 Methodology of Research:

In this paper we are going to propose a algorithm which is the combination of GD algorithm and Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm .Here QEA also the combination [5] of concept of quantum computing and evolutionary algorithm [6].GD algorithm discussed in chapter 3. QEA uses quantum chromosomes that are actually Q-bit individuals. Binary chromosomes will be produced from these quantum chromosomes. Q-gate is the main variation operator. That means, Q-bit individual will be updated mainly using Q-gate.QEA discussed in chapter 4.

## Chapter 2

## Related Work

Fault node recovery problem (FNR) it requires a huge amount of a computation because the problem is NP-hard. Previously wireless sensor network proposes lot of routing algorithms and energy algorithms. This project is also continued after observe the previous methodologies are helpful to provide effective communication and efficient energy to a sensor network nodes. Generally wireless sensor contains lot of sensor nodes armed with computing and sensing and communication devices over wireless channels. The main aim of WSN is to collect event data from the source and send it to sink node. In previously used two algorithms are directed diffusion algorithm and grade diffusion algorithm [7]. In this grade diffusion algorithm used finding the grade each and every node also find the nearest neighbor nodes and generates the routing path for each and every sensor node. These developments are will finally improve the life time and drain the sensor node cost of replacement. Next concept of genetic algorithm developed from the M. Gen and R. Cheng, [8]. This genetic algorithm is used to generate an efficient node from the dead nodes

### 2.1. Directed Diffusion Algorithm

In recent years in order to make WSN efficient, several algorithms have been proposed. C. Intanagonwiwatet. al. presented the Directed Diffusion(DD) algorithm. Directed Diffusion is designed for robustness, scaling and energy efficiency. It is data centric. Directed diffusion consists of several elements: interests, data messages, gradients, and reinforcements [9]. The DD algorithm is a query-driven transmission protocol. The collected data are transmitted only if they
fit the query from the sink node, thereby reducing the power consumption from data transmission. First, the sink node provides interested queries in the form of attribute-value pairs to the other sensor nodes by broadcasting the interested query packets to the entire network. Subsequently, the sensor nodes only send the collected data back to the sink node if they fit the interested queries. In DD, all of the sensor nodes are bound to a route when broadcasting the interested queries, even if the route is such that it will never be used. In addition, several circle routes, which are built simultaneously when broadcasting the queries, result in wasted power consumption and storage. In the real world, the number of the sensor nodes in a system is in the hundreds or even thousands. Such a waste of power consumption and storage becomes worse and the circle route problem becomes more serious with larger-sized systems.

### 2.2. Ladder Diffusion Algorithm

Ladder diffusion (LD) algorithm is used to map out the data relay routes in wireless sensor nodes. The algorithm focuses on balancing the data transmission load, increasing the lifetime of sensor nodes and their transmission efficiency. This study evaluates the performance of this algorithm for random wireless sensor networks with regard to the number of sensor nodes and relay hops required for data collection. The ladder diffusion algorithm is used to identify routes from sensor nodes to the sink node and avoid the generation of circle routes using the ladder diffusion process. The LD algorithm is fast and completely creates the ladder table in each sensor node based on the entire wireless sensor network by issuing the ladder create packet that is created from the sink node[7],[8].

### 2.3. Grade Diffusion

In 2011, H. C. Shih et al.[12]proposed a Grade Diffusion algorithm that improves upon the LD-ACO algorithm[4] to enhance node lifetime, raise transmission efficiency, and solve the problem of node routing and energy consumption. The GD algorithm broadcast grade completely and quickly creates packages from the sink node to every node in the wireless sensor network by the LD-ACO algorithm. The GD algorithm increases the sensor node's lift time and the sensor node's transmission effect. Moreover, the sensor node can save some backup nodes to reduce the energy for the re-looking routing by GD algorithm in case the sensor node's routing is broken. Finally, the grade diffusion algorithm has the less data package transmission loss and the hop count than the tradition algorithms in our simulate setting.

The Grade Diffusion algorithm overcomes the disadvantages of Direct Diffusion algorithm by broadcasting the neighbors to only first neighbor set. After that nodes are picked up based on hop count or rules and the amount of RREQ exchange is reduced hence amount of power required is less as compared to Direct Diffusion. However problem still persist as the
number of routes discovered increases the battery power decreases and node becomes obsolete sooner. Whether the DD or the GD algorithm is applied, the grade creating packages or interested query packets must first be broadcast. Then, the sensor nodes transfer the event data to the sink node, according to the algorithm, when suitable events occur. Grade Diffusion algorithm will discuss in chapter 3.

## Chapter 3

## Grade Diffusion Algorithm

The Grade Diffusion (GD) algorithm [14] is used for improving the ladder diffusion algorithm with the help of ant colony optimization (LD-ACO) for wireless sensor networks [15]. The GD algorithm creates the routing for each sensor node and identifies a set of neighbor nodes for minimizing the transmission loading. Each sensor node can select a sensor node from the set of neighbor nodes when its grade table lacks a node able to perform the relay. The GD algorithm can also record some information regarding the data relay. Then, a sensor node can select a node with a lighter loading or more available energy than the other nodes to perform the extra relay operation. That is, the GD algorithm updates the routing path in real time, and the event data is thus sent to the sink node quickly and correctly. The Grade Diffusion algorithm overcomes the disadvantages of Direct Diffusion algorithm by broadcasting the neighbors to only first neighbor set. After that nodes are picked up based on hop count or rules and the amount of RREQ exchange is reduced hence amount of power required is less as compared to Direct Diffusion. However problem still persist as the number of routes discovered increases the battery power decreases and node becomes obsolete sooner.

### 3.1 Plotting node:

At the beginning of executing GD algorithm we have to plot sensor nodes. For plotting node we maintain few conditions. We assume $1^{\text {st }}$ nodes will be the sink node. Every information will come here. Then we plot nodes in circular way. When we plotting nodes in every circle we maintain few conditions, like it is keeping Euclidean distance between any nodes in that graph and maximum nodes will be three in every circle. Maintain this condition we plotting nodes randomly.

## Experimental Dataset:

Row: 500, Col: 500, Center(Sink node):(100,50), Node:3,minED:17,TotalPlotted Node: 542


Figure 1: Simulated Area of Plotting Node

### 3.2 Determine Grade:

After plotting nodes we give grade in every node. When we give the grade for every node we maintained some conditions. Every child nodes grade must greater then parents nodes grade.we are incrementing child nodes grade by one. If parent node grade is one then child grade will be two. After grading all nodes we generate adjacency list.

### 3.3 All Possible Path:

After plotting and determining grade we calculate all possible path for a node to send information to the sink node. We are taking the record of how many path are exits for each node. And we set initial battery life for every node. Now we are putting all the information of every node in a knowledgebase. Each node containing these information:

- Node number
- Coordinate of the node
- Grade of the node
- How many path exist for the node
- Battery life


## Chapter 4

## Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm

### 4.1 Overview of Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm

This chapter concerns a new class of meta-heuristics, drawing their inspiration from two fields: evolutionary algorithm and quantum computing.

Quantum computing [13] is a branch of computer science concerning applications of unique quantum mechanical effects to solve computational problems. In theory, by application of unique quantum mechanical phenomena it is possible to solve selected computational problems extremely efficiently [14, 15]. However, there are still several serious difficulties in building a functional and scalable quantum computer. It is possible to simulate quantum computation on a classical computer; yet it is highly inefficient and computationally exhaustive. Nevertheless, quantum computing remains a valuable source of inspiration for contemporary computer science.

On the other hand, Evolutionary algorithms (EAs) are based on the principles of natural biological evolution. It is a generic population-based meta-heuristic optimization algorithm. Compared to traditional optimization methods, such as calculus-based and enumerative strategies, EAs are robust, global and may be applied generally without recourse to domainspecific heuristics, although performance is affected by these heuristics. At each generation of the EA, a new set of approximations is created by the process of selecting individuals according
to their level of fitness in the problem domain and reproducing them using variation operators. This process may lead to the evolution of populations of individuals that are better suited to their environment than the individuals from which they were created, just as in natural adaptation. Genetic algorithms (GAs) [16, 17, 18], evolutionary programming (EP) [19] and evolution strategies (ES) [20] are the three main-stream methods of evolutionary computation.

This paper proposes a novel Evolutionary Algorithm, called a Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm (QEA), which is based on the concept and principles of quantum computing such as a quantum bit and superposition of states. Like the EAs, QEAis also characterized by the representation of the individual, the evaluation function, and the population dynamics. However, instead of binary, numeric, or symbolic representation, QEAuses a Q-bit as a probabilistic representation, defined as the smallest unit of information. A Q-bit individual is defined by a string of Q-bits. The Q-bit individual has the advantage that it can represent a linear superposition of states (binary solutions) in search space probabilistically. Thus, the Q-bit representation has a better characteristic of population diversity than other representations. A Qgate is also defined as a variation operator of QEA to drive the individuals toward better solutions and eventually toward a single state. Initially, QEA can represent diverse individuals probabilistically because a Q-bit individual represents the linear superposition of all possible states with the same probability. As the probability of each Q-bit approaches either 1 or 0 by the Q-gate, the Q-bit individual converges to a single state and the diversity property disappears gradually. By this inherent mechanism, QEA can treat the balance between exploration and exploitation. It should be noted that although QEA is based on the concept of quantum computing, QEA is not a quantum algorithm, but a novel evolutionary algorithm for a classical computer [21, 22].

### 4.2 Basics of Quantum Computing

The smallest unit of information stored in a two-state quantum computer is called a quantum bit or qubit [13]. A qubit may be in the " 1 " state, in the " 0 " state, or in any superposition of the two. The state of a qubit can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are complex numbers that specify the probability amplitudes of the corresponding states.The $|\alpha|^{2}$ and $|\beta|^{2}$ are probabilities of the quantum bit being in the " 0 " state and " 1 " state, respectively, and should satisfy the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state of a qubit can be changed by the operation with a quantum gate. A quantum gate is a reversible gate and can be represented as a unitary operator Uacting on the qubit basisstates satisfying $U^{T} U=U U^{T}$, where $U^{\boldsymbol{T}}$ is the hermitian adjoint of $U$. There are several quantum gates, such as the NOT gate, controlled NOT gate, rotation gate, Hadamard gate, etc. [13]. If there is a system of $\boldsymbol{m q u b i t s , ~ t h e ~ s y s t e m ~ c a n ~ r e p r e s e n t ~} \mathbf{2}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ states at the same time. However, in the act of observing a quantum state, it collapses to a single state. Inspired by the concept of quantum computing, QEA is designed with a novel Q-bit representation, a Q-gate as a variation operator, and an observation process.

### 4.3 Representation

A number of different representations can be used to encode the solutions onto individuals in evolutionary computation. The representations can be classified broadly as: binary, numeric, and symbolic [23]. QEA uses a representation, called a Q-bit, for the probabilistic representation that is based on the concept of qubits, and a Q-bit individual as a string of Q -bits, which are defined below.

Definition 1: A $Q$-bit is defined as the smallest unit of information in QEA, which is defined with a pair of numbers $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}=1$. $|\alpha|^{2}$ gives the probability that the Q-bit will be found in the "0" state and $|\beta|^{2}$ gives the probability thatthe Q -bit will be found in the " 1 " state.A Q -bit may be in the " 1 " state, in the " 0 " state, or in a linear superposition of the two.

Definition 2:A $Q$-bit individual as a string of $\boldsymbol{m} \mathrm{Q}$-bits is defined as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{m}  \tag{3}\\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \ldots \ldots \\
\hline
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where, $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}+\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}=1, i=1,23, \ldots, m$.

Here is a three-Q-bit system with three pairs of amplitudes,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}  \tag{4}\\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then the states of the system can be represented as
$\frac{1}{4}|000\rangle+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}|001\rangle-\frac{1}{4}|010\rangle-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}|011\rangle+\frac{1}{4}|100\rangle+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}|101\rangle-\frac{1}{4}|110\rangle-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}|111\rangle$

The above result means that the probabilities to represent the states $|000\rangle,|001\rangle,|010\rangle,|011\rangle$, $|100\rangle,|101\rangle,|110\rangle$ and $|111\rangle$ are $1 / 16,3 / 16,1 / 16,3 / 16,1 / 16,3 / 16,1 / 16$, and $3 / 16$ respectively. By consequence, the three-Q-bit system of (4) contains the information of eight states.
Evolutionary computing with Q-bit representation has a better characteristic of population diversity than other representations, since it can represent linear superposition of states probabilistically. Only one Q-bit individual such as (4) is enough to represent eight states, but in binary representation at least eight strings, (000), (001), (010), (011), (100), (101), (110), and (111) are needed.

### 4.4 The structure of QEA

The pseudo-code of QEA [21, 22] is given below.

## Algorithm 1: Basic structure of Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm.

## Procedure QEA

1. begin
2. $\mathbf{t} \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$
3. initialize $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{t})$
4. make $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$ by observing the states of $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{t})$
5. evaluate $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$
6. store the best solutions among $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$ into $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$
7. while(not termination-condition) do
8. begin
9. $\quad \mathbf{t} \leftarrow \mathbf{t}+\mathbf{1}$
10. makeP(t) by observing the states of $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1})$
11. evaluate $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$
12. updateQ(t) using Q-gates
13. store the best solutions among $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$ into $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$
14. store the best solution bamong $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$
15. if(migration-condition)
16. thenmigratebor $\mathbf{b}_{j}^{t}$ to $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$ globallyor locally, respectively
end
17. 

end
Inline 03 Q-bits of all individualsin the quantum population $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{0})$ are initialized with $\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sqrt{2}}$. It means that one Q-bit individual, represents the linear superposition of all possible states withthe same probability.
In line $\mathbf{0 4}$, this step makes binary solutions in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{0})$ by observing thestates of $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{0})$, where $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{0})$ is population of binary strings at $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}$. Binary string is formed by selecting either 0 or 1 for each bit using the probability, either $\left|\alpha^{\mathbf{0}}\right|^{\mathbf{2}}$ or $\left|\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathbf{0}}\right|^{\mathbf{2}}$. In a quantum computer, in the act of observing a
quantum state, it collapses to a single state. However, collapsing into a single state does not occur in QEA, since it is working on a classical computer.
In line 05, each binary solution is evaluated to give a level of its fitness.
The initial best solutions are then selected among the binary solutions in line $\mathbf{0 6}$.
In the while loop, binary solutions in $\mathbf{P ( t )}$ are formed by observing the states of $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1})$ (line 10) and each binary solution is evaluated for the fitness value(line 11).

In line 12, Q -bit individuals in $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})$ are updated by applying Q -gates defined below.

Definition 3:A $Q$-gate is defined as a variation operator of QEA, by which operation the updated Q-bit should satisfy the normalization condition, $\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|^{2}=1$, where $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are the values of the updated Q-bit.

The following rotation gate is a basic Q -gate,

$$
U\left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right) & -\sin \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\sin \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right) & \cos \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where, $\Delta \theta_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, is a rotation angle of each Q-bit toward either 0 or 1 state depending on its sign. $\Delta \theta_{i}$ shouldbe designed in compliance with the application problem. It should be noted that NOT gate, controlledNOT gate, or Hadamard gate can be used asa Q-gate. NOT gate changes the probability of the (or 0 ) state to that of the 0 (or 1 ) state. It can beused to escape a local optimum. In controlled NOTgate, one of the two bits should be a control bit. Ifthe control bit is 1 , the NOT operation is applied tothe other bit. It can be used for the problems whichhave a large dependency of two bits. Hadamardgateis suitable for the algorithms which use the phase informationof Q-bit, as well as the amplitude information, and $H_{\epsilon}$ gate which is a novel Q-gate as a variation operator is designed in section 3.5.

In lines 13 and 14 , The best solutions among $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$ are selected and stored into $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$, and if the best solution stored in $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$ is fitter than the stored best solution $\mathbf{b}$, the stored solution $\mathbf{b}$ is replaced by the new one.

If a migration condition is satisfied(line 15), the best solution $\mathbf{b}$ is migrated to $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$, or the best one among some of the solutions in $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$ is migrated to them. The migration condition is a
design parameter, and the migration process defined below can induce a variation of the probabilities of a Q-bit individual.

Definition 4: A migration in QEA is defined as the process of copying $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathrm{in} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$ or $\mathbf{b}$ to $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$. A global migration is implemented by replacing all the solutions in $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$ by $\mathbf{b}$, and a localmigration is implemented by replacing some of the solutions in $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t})$ by the best one of them.

The binary solutions in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t})$ are discarded at the end of the loop because $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{1})$ will be produced by observing the updated $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{t})$ in step 7. Until the termination condition is satisfied, QEA is running in the while loop.

## 4.5 $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ Gate

The rotation gate used as a Q-gate induces the convergence of each Q -bit to either 0 or 1. However, a Q-bit converged to either 0 or 1 cannot escape the state by itself, although it can be changed passively by a global or local migration. If the value of $|\beta|^{2}$ is 0 (or 1 ), the observing state of the Q-bit is always 0 (or 1 ). To prevent premature convergence of Q-bit, $H_{\epsilon}$ gate can be defined as a Q-gate.

Definition 3: An $H_{\epsilon}$ gate is defined as a Q-gate extended from the rotation gate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{T}=H_{\epsilon}\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \Delta \theta_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where for $\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right]^{T}=U\left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{T}:$
i) if $\left|\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \leq \epsilon$ and $\left|\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \geq 1-\epsilon$

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]=[\sqrt{\epsilon} \sqrt{1-\epsilon}]^{T} ;
$$

ii) if $\left|\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \geq 1-\epsilon$ and $\left|\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \leq \epsilon$

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]=[\sqrt{1-\epsilon} \sqrt{\epsilon}]^{T} ;
$$

iii) otherwise

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right] ;
$$

Where, $0<\epsilon \ll 1, U\left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)$ is the rotation gate, and $\Delta \theta_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, is the rotation angle of each Q-bit toward either 0 or 1 state, depending on its sign. Figure 3 shows the $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ gate, where $\lim _{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0} \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}($.$) is the same as the rotation gate. While the rotation gate makes the probabilityof$ $|\alpha|^{2}$ or $|\beta|^{2}$ converge to either 0 or $1, \boldsymbol{H}_{\epsilon}$ gate makes it converge to $\epsilon$ or $(1-\epsilon)$. It should be noted that if $\epsilon$ is too big, the convergence tendency of a Q-bit individual may disappear.


Figure 3: $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ gate based on rotation gate.

### 4.6 Application of Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithm

This section will provide an overview of the numerous applications of QEAs. Traditional NP-Optimization problems are one of the most typical application fields of QEA.

Recent years have witness successful applications of QEA in variety of different areas, including image processing [11, 12, 13, 14], network design problems[15, 16, 17], flow shop scheduling problems [18]thermal unit commitment, power system optimization , discovering structures in time series etc.

QEA with real numbers representation have been also successfully applied in various fields: engineering optimization problems option pricing modeling [19,20], power system optimization financial data analysis[21], training fuzzy neural networks and ceramic grinding optimization.Consequently, it has been demonstrated that Quantum-inspired Evolutionary Algorithms are capable to outperform classical metaheuristics for a wide range of problems.

### 4.7 Proposed QEA for Fault node recovery problem

Here, we are going to start our discussion with the proposed representation and We present the detailed algorithm of QEA for the node recovery problem.

## Algorithm 2: Proposed QEA for Fault node recovery problem

Procedure QEA for the Fault node:
01 : begin
02: $\quad \mathrm{t} \leftarrow 0$
03: initialize $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})$
04: make $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ by observing the states of $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})$
05: repair $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$
06: improve $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$
07: evaluate $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$
08: store the best solutions among $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ into $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{t})$
09: while ( t < MAX GEN) do
10: begin
11: $\quad \mathrm{t} \leftarrow \mathrm{t}+1$
12: make $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ by observing the states of $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t}-1)$
13: $\quad$ repair $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$
14: improve $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$
15: evaluate $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$
16: update $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t})$
17: store the best solutions among $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{t}-1)$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ into $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{t})$.
18: store the best solution $b$ among $B(t)$.
19: if (migration-period)
20: $\quad$ then migrate $b$ or $b_{j}^{t}$ to $B(t)$ globally or locally, respectively

21: end
22: end

Procedure Make (x)
01: begin
02: $\quad \mathrm{i} \leftarrow 0$

03: while ( $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{m}$ ) do

04: begin

05: $\quad \mathrm{i} \leftarrow \mathrm{i}+1$

06: $\quad$ if (random $\left.[0,1)<\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}\right)$

07: $\quad$ then $x_{i} \leftarrow 1$

08: $\quad$ else $x_{i} \leftarrow 0$
09: end

10: end

## Procedure Repair (x)

01: begin
02: for $(i=0$ to $m$ ) $\mathbf{d o}$
03: begin
04: if ( $x_{i}$ is 1 ) then
05: begin
06: $\quad$ for $(j=0$ to $m)$ do
07: begin
08: $\quad$ if $\left(x_{j}\right.$ is 1$)$ then
09: begin

10: $\quad$ if (iis not equal $j$ and $x_{i}$ is not adjacent to $x_{j}$ ) then
11: begin
12: randomly choose $i$ or $j$.
13: if ( $i$ is choosen) then
14: $\quad x_{i} \leftarrow 0$
15: else
16: $\quad x_{j} \leftarrow 0$
17: end
18: end
19: end
20: end
21: end
22: end

Here, $H_{\epsilon}$ gate is used as a Q-gate to update a Q-bit individual $\boldsymbol{q}$ as a main variation operator. Qbit of $i$ th position ( $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ ) is updated as follows:

$$
\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{T}=U\left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)\left[\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right]^{T}:
$$

Where, $U\left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)$ is a simple rotation gate,

$$
U\left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right) & -\sin \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right) \\
\sin \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right) & \cos \left(\Delta \theta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

if $\left|\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \leq \epsilon$ and $\left|\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \geq 1-\epsilon$
then $\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]=[\sqrt{\epsilon} \sqrt{1-\epsilon}]^{T}$;
if $\left|\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \geq 1-\epsilon$ and $\left|\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \leq \epsilon$
then $\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]=[\sqrt{1-\epsilon} \sqrt{\epsilon}]^{T} ;$
Otherwise, $\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\alpha_{i}^{\prime \prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right]$;


Figure 2: $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ gate based on rotation gate.
In this QEA for MCP, the angle parameters used for the rotation gate are shown in table 1. Let us define an angle vector $\Theta=\left[\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \ldots \theta_{8}\right]^{T}$, where $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{8}$ can be found from table 1 . We have used, $\theta_{3}=0.01 \pi, \theta_{5}=-0.01 \pi$, and 0 for the rest. The values from $0.001 \pi$ to $0.05 \pi$ are recommended for the magnitude of $\Delta \theta_{i}$. Otherwise, it may converse prematurely. The sign of $\Delta \theta_{i}$ determines the direction of convergence. We have chosen $\epsilon=0.01$. In table $1, f($.$) is the$ fitness, and $x_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are the $i$ th bits of the best solution $x$ and the binary solution $b$, respectively. Here, $\theta_{1}=0, \theta_{2}=0, \theta_{3}=0.01 \pi, \theta_{4}=0, \theta_{5}=-0.01 \pi, \theta_{6}=0, \theta_{7}=0, \theta_{8}=0$ are used.

Table 1: lookup table of $\Delta \theta_{i}$

| $x_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | $f(x) \geq f(b)$ | $\Delta \theta_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | false | $\theta_{1}$ |
| 0 | 0 | true | $\theta_{2}$ |
| 0 | 1 | false | $\theta_{3}$ |
| 0 | 1 | true | $\theta_{4}$ |
| 1 | 0 | false | $\theta_{5}$ |
| 1 | 0 | true | $\theta_{6}$ |
| 1 | 1 | false | $\theta_{7}$ |
| 1 | 1 | true | $\theta_{8}$ |

## Chapter 5

## Experimental Results and Analysis

### 5.1 Environment Setup:

A simulation of the proposed algorithm as described in chapter 3 and chapter 4 was performed to verify the method. The experiment was designed based on 2-D space, using $500 * 500$ units, and the scale of the coordinate axis for each dimension was set at 0 to 500 . The radio ranges (transmission range) of the nodes were set to 22 units. In each of these simulations, the sensor nodes were distributed uniformly over the space. There are three sensor nodes randomly distributed in $500 * 500$ space, and the Euclidean distance is 17 units between any two sensor nodes. Therefore, there are 542 sensor nodes in the 2-D wireless sensor network and the center node is the sink node. The data packages were exchanged between random source/destination pairs with 1000 event data packages.

## For simulation:

IDE $\quad$ : Code::Blocks 13.12 and Mathlab (2012b)
Language : C++

### 5.2 Experimental Data sets Results

## Dataset for environment-

Row: 500
Column: 500
Center (Sink Node): 100, 50
Radius: 22
Maximum node: 3
Minimum Distance: 17

## Result:

Total node: 542

## Dataset for simulation:

Maximum iteration: 2000
Event: 1000
Optimum value: 30
Message per deduction: 2 w

## Result:

Success: 912 (250 QEA call)

In figure -3 we can see that the number of message reached for each QEA call. Before QEA call maximum messages send in the sink node. After every calling the rest of the messages were sending gradually.


Figure 3: Total messages reaching the sink node for each QEA call
But we also see that after 147 QEA call maximum messages ware sent. Some messages are hanged up in different nodes. After some QEA call that messages also sent into the sink node.

In figure 4 we can see that the recovered sensor nodes after each QEA call. In this graph we able to figure it out that after $1^{\text {st }}$ QEA call the total number of recovered nodes are 22.Thus this graph shows up the amount of recovered node after each QEA call.


Figure 4: Number of recovery sensor nodes in each QEA call

In Fig. 5, the average energy consumption for each grade is calculated after 1000 events. Using the GD algorithm, the sensor nodes consume their energy rapidly because they try to transfer event data to the sink node using neighbor nodes if the grade 1 sensor nodes are energy-depleted or their routing table is empty. The proposed algorithm has ample energy for each grade sensor node because the algorithm can replace the sensor nodes, but it reuses more routing paths compared to using the traditional algorithm.


Figure 5: Average residual energy

## Chapter 6

## Conclusion and Future Work:

Wireless sensor network (WSN) consists of spatially dispersed and dedicated sensors for monitoring and recording the physical conditions of the environment and organizing the collected data at a central location. In most of the case wireless sensor network (WSN) measure environmental conditions such as temperature, sound, pollution levels, humidity, wind speed and direction, pressure, etc. In this paper we applied fault sensor node recovery algorithm to increase the life time of a WSN when few sensors are damaged or shut down due to technical problem or low level of battery power. Here we proposed a algorithm is based on the Grade diffusion algorithm combined with the quantum inspired evolutionary algorithm for solution of fault node recovery problem.

In the future work, we will try to improve the execution time of the algorithm. We also have plans to test on bigger data sets and compare different approach like DD with this approach. In this paper we use battery as only constrain. But we are also interested to implement this approach with different constrain like distance, node overhead etc.
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## Appendix

## CREATE SQUARE AREA

1. int min(int $x$, int radius)
2. \{
3. if(x-radius<0) return 0;
4. else return x-radius;
5. \}
6. 
7. int max(int $x$, int radius, int $y$ )
8. \{
9. $i f(x+r a d i u s>y)$ return $y$;
10. else return x+radius;
11. \}

## CHECK CIRCLE

1. int checkCircle(int $x$,int $y$, int $m$, int $n$, int radius)
2. \{
3. int $a, b$;
4. 
5. $\quad a=((m-x) *(m-x))+((n-y) *(n-y))$;
6. $\quad \mathrm{b}=$ radius*radius;
7. 
8. if( $a>=b)$ return 0 ; // outside circle
9. else if $(\mathrm{a}==0)$ return 0; // center itself
10. else return 1; // inside circle
11. \}

## CHECK EUCLIDEAN DISTANCE

1. int checkEuclideanDist(int $x 2$, int $y 2, i n t ~ m i n D i s t) ~$
2. \{
3. int dist, $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{f}$;
4. for(int i=0; i<plotedNode.size(); i++) //Check with every ploted node
5. \{
6. $\quad x 1=$ plotedNode[i]. $x$;
7. $\quad y 1=$ plotedNode[i].y;
8. 

dist $=\operatorname{sqrt}(((x 2-x 1) *(x 2-x 1))+((y 2-y 1) *(y 2-y 1)))$;
9.
10. if(dist<=minDist) \{f=0; break; \}
11. else f=1;
12. \}
13. return f;
14. \}

## CHECK EXISTED NODE


2. \{
3. int count=0,f;
4. for(int i=rowMin; i<=rowMax; i++)
5. \{
6. for(int j=colMin; j<=colMax; j++)
7.
8. if(net[i][j]>0)
9.
\{
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
$f=$ checkCircle(x,y,i,j,radius);
if(f==1) //node found circle
\{
count++;
\}
15. \}
16. \}
17. \}
18. return count;
19. \}

## CHECK EVERY POINT

int checkEveryPoint(int $m$, int $n$,int radius, int nodes,int row,int col)
2. \{
3. int $x, y$, rowMin, rowMax, colMin, colMax, count, $d=1$;
4. $\operatorname{net}[m][n]=99999999$;
5.
6. for(int i=0; i<plotedNode.size(); i++)
7. \{
8. $x=p l o t e d N o d e[i] . x$;
9. $y=p l o t e d N o d e[i] . y ;$
10.
11. $\quad$ rowMin $=\min (x$, radius $)$;
12. $\quad$ rowMax $=\max (x$, radius, row $)$;
13. colMin = min(y,radius);
14. colMax $=\max (y$, radius, col $)$;
15.
16. //cout<<"rowMin: "<<rowMin<<" rowMax: "<<rowMax<<" colMin: "<<colMin<<" colMax:
"<<colMax<<endl;
count $=$ checkExistedNode( $x, y$, rowMin,rowMax,colMin,colMax,radius);
18.
19. if(count>nodes)
20. \{
21. $d=0$;
22. break;
23. \}
24. \}
25. $\operatorname{net}[m][n]=0$;
26.
27. return d;
28. \}

## ADJACENCY LIST

1. int adjListCreate(int radius)
2. \{
3. int i, j;
4. for(i=0; i<plotedNode.size(); i++)
5. \{
6. for(j=i+1; j<plotedNode.size(); j++)
7. \{
8. 
9. if(checkCircle(plotedNode[i].x, plotedNode[i].y, plotedNode[j].x, plotedNode[j].y, radius))
10. 
11. 
12. 
13. 

\}
14. \}
15. \}
16. return 0;
17. \}

## GRAPH PLOT


2. \{
3. int $m, n$, circle,dist, nodeLevel=1, $g$;
4. int rowMin=0, rowMax=0, colMin=0, colMax=0;
5. node pointP, pointC;
6.
7. rowQ.push(x);
8. colQ.push(y);
9.
10. $\operatorname{net}[x][y]=$ nodeLevel;
11. pointP.insert_xy ( $x, y$ );
12. plotedNode.push_back(pointP);
13.
14. int faild=0;
15. while(!rowQ.empty() \&\& !colQ.empty())
16. \{
17.
18. $x=$ rowQ.front();
19. $y=$ colQ.front ( $)$;
20. //cout<<" popX="<<x<<" popY="<<y<<endl;
21. rowQ.pop();
22. colQ.pop();
23.
24. rowMin = min(x,radius);
25. rowMax $=\max (x$, radius, row $)$;
26. colMin $=\min (y$, radius $)$;
27. colMax $=\max (y$, radius, col $)$;
28.
29. //cout<<"rowMin: "<<rowMin<<" rowMax: "<<rowMax<<" colMin: "<<colMin<<" colMax: "<<colMax<<endl;
30.
31. int count = checkExistedNode(x,y,rowMin,rowMax,colMin,colMax,radius); // count existed nodes on that area
32.
33. cout<<"No Of nodes already exist: "<<count<<endl;
34.
35. if(count>nodes)
$36 . \quad\{$
37. printf("\%a");
38. system("pause");
39.
40. \}
41.
42. int nodePlot=nodes-count;
43. if(nodePlot<0) nodePlot=0;
44. if(nodePlot>0) nodeLevel++;
45.
46. int trial=0;
47. // coutくく"
48.
49. while(nodePlot)
50. \{
51. if(trial==500)
52.
53.
54.
55. break;
56. \}
57.
58. $\quad m=r a n d() \%(r o w M a x-r o w M i n)+r o w M i n ;$
59. $n=\operatorname{rand}() \%(c o l M a x-c o l M i n)+c o l M i n ;$
60.
61.
circle $=$ checkCircle( $x, y, m, n$, radius); //Check new nodes on circle or
not
62.
63.
64.
65. int countPoint=0;
66.
if(circle==1 \&\& dist==1) countPoint = checkEveryPoint(m,n,radius,nodes,row,col); // count nodes in every nodes circuler area after ploting new node
67.
68.
69. if(net[m][n]==0 \&\& countPoint==1)
70.
71.
72. $\operatorname{net}[m][n]=$ nodeLevel;
73.
74. //cout<<" pushX="<<m<<" pushY="<<n<<endl;
75.
rowQ.push(m);
76.
77.
78.
79.
80.
81. nodePlot--;
82.
83. trial++;
84. \}
85. \}
86.
87. adjListCreate(radius); // Calling adjacency list creator function
88. \}
89.
90. //********************** MAIN FUNCTION $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / /$
91.
92. int main()
93. \{
94. int $x, y, r a d i u s, n o d e s, r o w, c o l, m i n D i s t ;$
95.
96. cout<<"row: "; cin>>row;
97. cout<<"col: "; cin>>col;
98. cout<<"x: "; cin>>x;
99. cout<<"y: "; cin>>y;
100. cout<<"radius: "; cin>>radius;
101. cout<<"nodes: "; cin>>nodes;
102. cout<<"minDist: "; cin>>minDist;
103.
104. graphPlot(row, col, $x, y$, radius, nodes,minDist);
105.
106. cout<<"\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Ploted Node \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#n";
107. for(int $i=0$; i<plotedNode.size(); i++)
108.
109.
110.
111.

112
113.
114.
115.

116
117
118.

119
120.

121
122

123
124.

125
126

127

128

129

130
131.
132.
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

133
134.

135
136.

137

138

139
140.

141
142
143
144.
145.
146.
147. return 0;

148 \}

## Determine Grade

1. \#include<stdio.h>
2. \#include<iostream>
3. \#include<cmath>
4. \#include<cstdlib>
5. \#include<queue>
6. \#include<vector>
7. using namespace std;
8. int net[1005][1005];
9. int visited[1005][1005];
10. queue<int>rowQ;
11. queue<int>colQ;
12. 
13. 
14. struct node
15. \{
16. int $x, y$, grade;
17. 
18. int insert_xy(int a, int b, int c)
19. \{
20. $x=a$;
21. $\quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{b}$;
22. grade=c;
23. \}
24. \} point;
25. vector< node >plotedNode;
26. vector< vector< int \gg adjList(100000);
27. 
28. 
29. // $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~ P R I N T ~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / / ~ / ~$
30. void print(int row,int col)
31. \{
32. for(int i=0; i<row; i++)
33. \{
34. for(int $j=0 ; j<c o l ; j++)$
35. \{
36. cout<<net[i][j]<<" ";
37. \}
38. cout<<endl;
39. \}
40. \}
41. 
42. //****************** CREATE SQUARE AREA $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / /$
43. int $\min ($ int $x$, int radius)
44. \{
45. if(x-radius<0) return 0;
46. else return x-radius;
47. \}
48. 
49. int max(int $x$, int radius, int $y$ )
50. \{
51. if(x+radius>y) return $y$;
52. else return x+radius;
53. \}
54. 
55. // $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$ CHECK CIRCLE $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / /$
56. 
57. int checkCircle(int $x$,int $y$, int $m$, int $n$, int radius)
58. \{
59. int $a, b$;
60. 
61. $a=((m-x) *(m-x))+((n-y) *(n-y))$;
62. $\mathrm{b}=$ radius*radius;
63. 
64. //cout<<"rad: "<<a<<endl;
65. if(a>=b) return 0; // outside circle
66. else if(a==0) return 0; // center itself
67. else return 1; // inside circle
68. \}
69. 
70. //*********************** CHECK EXISTED NODE $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / / ~$
71. 
72. int checkExistedNode(int $x$,int $y$,int rowMin,int rowMax,int colMin,int colMax,int radius)
73. \{
74. int count=0,f;
75. for(int i=rowMin; i<=rowMax; i++)
76. \{
77. for(int j=colMin; j<=colMax; j++)
78. \{
79. if(net[i][j]>0)
80. 
81. 
82. 
83. 
84. 
85. count++;

86
87
\}
88
\}
89. \}
90. return count;
91. \}
92.
93. // $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$ ADJACENCY LIST $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / /$
94. int adjListCreate(int radius)
95. \{
96. int i, j;
97. for(i=0; i<plotedNode.size(); i++)
98. \{
99. for(j=i+1; j<plotedNode.size(); j++)
100. \{
101. if(i==j) continue;
102. if(checkCircle(plotedNode[i].x, plotedNode[i].y, plotedNode[j].x, plotedNode[j].y, radius))
103. $\qquad$
104.
105.
106.
107.
108.
109.
110.
111.
112.
113. void grade(int row,int col,int x,int y,int radius,int node,int totalNode)
114.
115.
116.

117
118.
119.
120.
122.
123.
124.
125.
126.
127.
128.
129.
130.
131.
132.
133.
134.
135.
136.
137.
138.
139.
point.insert_xy(x, y, 1);
plotedNode.push_back(point);
rowQ.push(x);
colQ.push(y);
int $h=1$;
int $\mathrm{c}=1$;
while(!rowQ.empty() \&\& !colQ.empty())
\{
0.
131.
x = rowQ.front();
$y=$ colQ.front();
.
rowQ.pop();
colQ.pop();
36.
137. rowMin = min(x,radius);
138.
139.
int rowMin=0, rowMax=0, colMin=0, colMax=0;
int $f=0$, count $=0$;
net $[x][y]=1$; //root node grade $=1$
24. rowQ.push(x);
. $\quad x=$ rowQ.front ();
$y=$ colQ.front();
4. rowQ.pop();
. colQ.pop()
rowMax $=\max (x$, radius, row $)$;
colMin $=\min (y$, radius $)$;
,
140.
colMax $=\max (y$, radius,col $)$;
141.
142.
143.
144.
145.
146.
147.
148.
149.
circle
150.
151.
152.
153.
for(int i=rowMin; i<=rowMax; i++)
f = checkCircle(x,y,i,j,radius);
if(f==1) // this node found in if(net[i][j]>0) // it is a node
node grade > node grade
154.
155.
156.

$$
+1
$$

157. 
158. 
159. 
160. 
161. 
162. 
163. 
164. 
165. 
166. 
167. 
168. 
169. 
170. 
171. 
172. 
173. 

c++;
if(net[x][y]<net[i][j] \&\& visited[i][j]==0) // if adjacent
\{ visited[i][j]=1;
net[i][j]=net[x][y]+1; // adjacent node grade = node grade
h++;
rowQ.push(i);
colQ.push(j);
point.insert_xy(i, j, net[i][j]);
plotedNode.push_back(point);
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
adjListCreate(radius);
173. \}
174.
175. \}
176.
177. int main()
178.
179.
180.
181. cout<<"row: "; cin>>row;
182.
183.
184.
185.
186.
187.
188.
189.
190. for(int i=0; i<totalNode; i++)
191.
192.
193.
194.
195.
196.
197.
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
198.
199.
200.
201.
202.
fprintf(fp,"row: \%d\ncol: \%d\nx: \%d\ny: \%d\nTotal Node:
\%d\n", row, col, $x, y$, totalNode);
203.
204.
205.
for(int i=0; i<totalNode; i++)
\{
206. fprintf(fp,"\%d \%d \%d
\%d\n",i,plotedNode[i].x,plotedNode[i].y,plotedNode[i].grade);
207.
208. fprintf(fp,"\n\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# \#\#\n");
209. fclose(fp);
210. cout<<"Finish\n";
211.
212. cout<<"\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Adjacency List Print \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#n";
213.
214.
215.
216.
217.
218.
219.
220.
221.
222.
//\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# Adjacency List FILE
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
223.
224.

225
226.
227.
228.
229.
230.
231.
232.
233.
234.
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#");
235. fclose(adj);
236.
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
238.
239.
240.
241.
242.
243.

244
245.
246.
\%d\n", plotedNode[i].x,plotedNode[i].y,plotedNode[adjList[i][j]].x, plotedNode[adjList[i][j]].y);
247.
\}
248.
249. fclose(con);
250.
251. return 0;
252. \}

## All Possible Paths

1. \#include <stdio.h>
2. \#include <vector>
3. \#include <algorithm>
4. \#include <vector>
5. \#include <queue>
6. \#include <iostream>
7. \#include "sstream"
8. \#include "fstream"
9. using namespace std;
10. vector<vector<int\ggGRAPH(100000);
11. 
12. 
13. struct node
14. \{
15. int node, $x, y, g r a d e, ~ p a t h C o u n t, ~ b a t t r y ; ~$
16. \}point;
17. //typedef pair<int,int>node;
18. vector< node >NodeInfo;
19. stringstream ss;
20. 
21. //\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# PATH PRINT \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
22. inline void print_path(vector<int>path)
23. \{
24. //cout<<"[ ";
25. ss<<path.size()<<endl;
26. for(int i=0;i<path.size();++i)
27. \{
28. //cout<<path[i]<<" ";
29. ss<<path[i]<<" ";
30. \}
31. ss<<endl;
32. //cout<<"]"<<endl;
33. \}
34. 
35. //\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# ADJACENCY NODE EXIST ON PATH OR NOT \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
36. bool isadjacency_node_not_present_in_current_path(int node,vector<int>path)
37. \{
38. for(int i=0;i<path.size();++i)
39. \{
40. if(path[i]==node)
41. return false;
42. \}
43. return true;
44. \}
45. 
46. //////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////
47. void routingTable(vector<int>new_path)
48. \{
49. 

FILE *fp;
50. fp = fopen("routingTable.txt","a");
51.
52. for(int i=0; i<new_path.size(); i++)
53. \{
54. fprintf(fp,"\%d ",new_path[i]);
55. \}
56. fprintf(fp,"\n");
57.
58. fclose(fp);
59.
60. \}
61.
62. //\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# FIND PATH \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
63. int findpaths(int source , int target ,int totalnode, int totaledge )
64. \{
65. vector<int>path; // PATH VECTOR INIITIALISATION
66. path.push_back(source);
67. queue<vector<int\ggq; // QUEUE OF PATH VECTOR
68.
69. q.push(path);
70. int countPath $=0$; // PATH COUNT INITIALISATION
71.
72. while(!q.empty())
73. \{
74. path=q.front();
75. q.pop(); // POP PATH FORM QQUEUE
76.
77. int last_nodeof_path=path[path.size()-1]; // LAST NODE OF PATH VECTOR
78.
79. if(last_nodeof_path==target) // COMPLETE PATH BETWEEN SOURCE AND DESTINATION
80. \{
81. //cout<<"The Required path is:: ";
82. print_path(path);
83. routingTable(path);
84. countPath++; // PATH COUNT

85
\}
86. else
87. \{
88. // print_path(path);
89. \}
90.
91. for(int i=0;i<GRAPH[last_nodeof_path].size();++i) // GRAPH[last_nodeof_path].size() = SIZE OF ADJACENCY NODE
92. \{
93. // IF GRADE OF LAST NODE > GRADE OF LAST NODES ADJACENCY NODE
94. if(NodeInfo[last_nodeof_path].grade>NodeInfo[GRAPH[last_nodeof_path][i]].grade)

95 . \{
96. // send LAST UPDATED PATH and (GRAPH[last_nodeof_path][i] = NODES OF ADJACENCY NODE
97.
// IF NEW ADJACENCY NODE NOT EXIST ON UPADATED PATH THEN ADD NEW NODE AND UPDATE THE PATH
98.
99. if(isadjacency_node_not_present_in_current_path(GRAPH[last_nodeof_path][i],path)
)
100.
101.
\{ vector<int>new_path(path.begin(), path.end());
102. new_path.push_back(GRAPH[last_nodeof_path][i]); // ADD NEW NODE TO

PATH
103.
q.push(new_path);
// PUSH UPDATED
PATH INTO QUEUE
104.
105.
106.
107.
108.
109.
110. \}
111. int main()
112. \{
113. int totalNode,totalEdge, $u, v$, source,target;
114.
115.
printf("Enter Total Nodes \& Total Edges\n");
116.
117.

118
119.
120.
121.
122.
123.
124.
125.
126.
127.
128.
129.
130.
131.
132.
133.
134.
135.
136.
137.
138.
139.
140.
141.
142.
143.
144.
145.
146.
147.
148.
149.
150.
151.
scanf("\%d\%d",\&totalNode, \&totalEdge);
//\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# INPUT ADJACENCY LIST \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
printf("Enter Adjacency Lists\n");
for(int i=1;i<=totalEdge;++i)
\{
scanf("\%d\%d",\&u,\&v);
GRAPH[u].push_back(v);
GRAPH[v].push_back(u);
\}
//\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# INPUT ALL NODE INFORMATION \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
int $n, x, y, g$;
cout<<"Enter Node Information\nNode X Y Grade"<<endl;
for(int i=0; i<totalNode; i++)
\{
scanf("\%d\%d\%d\%d", \&n, \&x,\&y,\&g);
point. node=n;
point. $x=x$;
point. $y=y$;
point.grade=g;
point.battry=100;
NodeInfo.push_back(point);
\}
printf("Find and Count All Possible Path from All Node to Source\n");
int pathCount[100000];
ofstream fout;
fout.open("routingTable.txt");
string str;
for(int i=0; i<totalNode; i++)
\{
source = i;
target $=0$;
152.
153.
154.
155.
156.
157.
158.
159.
160.
161.

162
163.
164.
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
165.
166.
167.
168.
\%d\n",NodeInfo[i].node,NodeInfo[i].x,NodeInfo[i].y,NodeInfo[i].grade,pathCount[i],NodeInfo[i].ba ttry);
169.
170.
171.
172.
173.
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
174.
175.
176.
177.
178.
179.
180.
181.
\%d\n", NodeInfo[i].node, NodeInfo[i].x,NodeInfo[i].y,NodeInfo[i].grade, pathCount[i],NodeInfo[i].ba ttry);
182.
183.
\#\#\n");
184.
185.
186. cout<<"Finish\n";
187.
188. return 0;
189. \} fclose(fp);
fprintf(fp,"\n\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
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