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## Executive Summery

In the urban areas most of the women are working outside with men. A nation's wellbeing much depends on the effectiveness of both male and female. Being a Muslim country initially it was very though to think women as a member of staff of any organization. But today it is a very common phenomenon. Though women are working with men but it is sometimes seen that they are being judged unequally compared to men.

In this project I tried my best to figure out some key points in which they are being judged unequally. This inequality can create problem like lack of motivation, high turnover, low growth, lack of prospect etc. In this project some key factors in which I would like to mention like

1. Difficulties in being themselves
2. Unfair judgment regarding performance
3. Men's attention toward's women
4. Organizational support and opportunity of self development compared to men

Being a woman one has to care about both her office and home. So we also tried to find out if they are able to perform her double role.

At the beginning of the questionnaire we have taken some of women's demographical data and in the analysis part we correlate them with the factors.

In findings, the result was amazing. In the analysis part we wanted to see how much inequality happens in different positions and which factors affecting more. It is reflected in the research that each and every factors are influencing in wonmen's working environment. On the other side it is seen that the higher the position goes, the greater the inequality observed.


## Introduction

## Background of the Study

This research paper is prepared as a partial requirement of the course Marketing Research (BUS 498). This research was conducted to find out the factors that influence the service experience of the customers in the customer care center.

The issue of gender inequality is one which has been publicly reverberating through society for decades. The problem of gender inequality is one of the most pressing issues today in various working environment. In order to examine this situation one must try to get to the root of the problem and must understand the sociological factors that cause women to have a much more difficult time getting the same benefits, wages, and job opportunities as their male counterparts. The society in which we live has been shaped historically by males.

It is being observed the in last decade's years; women are facing inequality compared to male. Though women are in some cases more efficient than male but due to some reason male employees are getting more concentration and organizational support than women. As a result potential women are not getting the opportunity y to work up to their level.

In this project we will try to find out the factors behind the inequality and how much they are affecting in women's personal and organizational life.

## Origin of the report

The general objective of this study is to complete the project. As per requirement of Business Administration Department of East West University, all students need to prepare a report for the BUS 498 course to acquire practical knowledge about real business operation.

## Objectives

1. The broad and overall objective of this report is to provide with an over view of the learning from the survey and research work, so that the theoretical learning can be related with the real life business situation.

## Scope

- It is a great chance to understand the real situation of women than men in terms of different values.
- To understand the relationship that exists between hierarchy position and degree of treatment given by organization than men
- The key features were to make survey with the appropriate standard of questionnaires. After getting some opinions I would analyze my findings make the static comparisons.


## Limitations

Due to time constraint I could not analyze all the factors regarding inequality issue.

- Lack of interest of respondents regarding questionnaire.
- Some of the respondents initially did not want to disclose some of the information.
- It was very difficult to reach different level of employees in different organizations especially in public organizations.
- Power failure caused a serious problem while preparing the report.


## Methodology

The type of business research used in this report is exploratory in nature. Secondary data analysis was selected as the basic research method. For this report we had to primarily search on internet to conduct information. We went to the corporate office of Grameenphone and also gathered valuable perceptions from women employees over there. Since this is a descriptive research work, we had gone through several sources and methods of study. Collected information was processed with the aid of MS Excel and SPSS computer software as this term paper was an exploratory one. When we started to prepare this term paper, at the very outset we browsed relevant websites in the internet. We found lots information about our topic, which are sufficient for our coordinated work. We chose our text book for preparing the term paper. We watchfully read their writings related to our topic. In addition, we browsed some official web sites and downloaded many web pages, PDF files \& other related phenomena. Those helped us in giving information resources. We also had gone through the prescribed text book.

## Problem definition

## Broad Objectives

- Whether attitude towards women is negative
- Whether opportunities for women in career advancement are same as men
- Whetber organizational support for men and women are same
- Whether women need more support to maintain their double role

Nature of the study
Ny dara analysis will be quantilative and my findings \& recommendation will help to understand if women are facing inequality in actual job environment and if so than to identify in which sectors and factors behind this situation.

## Hypothesis Design

Based on the some factors judgment, opportunities support and are independent variables based on that I have developed three hypotheses.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : It is difficult for women than men to be themselves at work
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ : Women receive more unfair judgment of their work performance than men
$\mathrm{H}_{3}$ : Men fail to pay anention to what women say at meetings
$\mathrm{H}_{4}$ Women have fewer opportunities than men for professional development at work
$\mathrm{H}_{5}$ : Men receive more organizational support and trust than women
$\mathrm{H}_{6}$ : If respondents need more suppon than you receive to manage your double role (home and office)

## Research Design

## Questionnaire Design

A questionnaire including 11 questions was developed based on different aspects in which women can be judged unequally than men. In this questionnaire I have used multiple choice questions.

## Data Collection Method

A pre tested questionnaire was used to collect the data. The questionnaire was pre-tested in order to maintain proper wording, length and sequencing of the questions. The data were collected from different corporate houses, autonomous institutions and government organizations.

## Sample Selection

A sample size of 61 working women was used to conduct the research. The respondents of the sample were the women of Grameenphone, Robi, University of Dhaka, Jagannath University and different wings of public administration. At first the respondents were asked whether they are facing inequality compared with men in the actual job environment. First they were just a little bit anxious about any legal issues or query but after watching the questionnaire they were very much relaxed to answer.

## Salidity

Since this research had limitations in terms of time and scope our research is limited to the reliability. As a result less attention was given to validity.

## Analysis Techniques

I divided the total number of respondents in 3 individual sample; that are autonomous, corporate and govemment. I have analyzed the multiple choice questions by frequency table and cross tabulation. Then making position as dependent variable I analyze different factors. After that I have done regression analysis and ANOVA test.

## Result and Findings

## Profile of respondent

As respondents were from 3 different sectors that is from corporate, government and autonomous organizations. So here we are showing data individually.

## Autonomous

Statistics

|  | Age of the <br> mample | Esperience | Postion | Education | Marial |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |



## Frequency Table

## Age of the sample

|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 25-30 | 7 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
|  | 31.35 | 8 | 38.1 | 71.4 |
|  | 36.40 | 1 | 4.8 | 76.2 |
|  | above do | 5 | 23.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total |  | 100.0 |  |

Experience

|  |  | Frequency | Valıd Percent | Cumulalive Percenl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V. $\because$ 'd | less than 2 years | 6 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
|  | $2-5$ ycars | 4 | 19.0 | 47.6 |
|  | 5.10 ycars | 7 | 33.3 | 81.0 |
|  | over 10 years | 4 | 19.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Toisl | 21 | 100.0 |  |

## Position

|  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Valid Percent | level | 7 |
| mid level | 11 | 33.3 | 33.3 |  |
|  | 3 | 52.4 | 85.7 |  |
|  | senior level | 21 | 14.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 100.0 |  |  |

## Education

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | masters | 18 | 85.7 | 85.7 |
|  | phd | 2 | 9.5 | 95.2 |
|  | oiliers | 1 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Tolal | 21 | 100.0 |  |

## Martial

|  |  |  | Cumulalive <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Fingle | Frequency | Valid Percent | 19.0 |
|  | marricd | 16 | 19.0 | 95.2 |


| ohers <br> Total | 21 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

From the above charts we can find different demography of the sample.

## Position * Unfair judgment Crosstabulation

Count


Here independent variable is the unfair judgment. We can see that $57 \%$ entry level, $27 \%$ mid level, and $33 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that they are they are being judged unfairly and $18 \%$ of mid level position holders strongly agreed that they are they are being judged unfairly.

## Position * Opportunities Cross tabulation

Count

|  |  | Opportunities |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | strongiy agree | disagree | neutral | agree | Strongly agree |  |
| Position | entry level | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
|  | mid level | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 11 |
|  | senior level | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Total |  | 1 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 21 |

Here independent variable is the opportuniries. We can see that $42 \%$ entry level, $45 \%$ mid level, and $66 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that they have fewer opportunities than men for professional development at work and $14 \%$ of entry level, $9 \%$ of mid level and $0 \%$ of senior level position holders strongly agreed that that they have fewer opportunities than men for professional development at work.

## Position * Support Cross tabulation

Count

|  | Trusi and Support |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | strongly agree | disagree | neulral | agree | strongly agree | Tolal |


| Rusue | entry level | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mid lexel | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 |
|  | sentor level | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Tital |  | 1 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 21 |

Here independent variable is the trust and support. We can see that $57 \%$ entry level, $42 \%$ mid level. and $66 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that men receive more organizational support and trust than women and $14 \%$ of entry level, $14 \%$ of mid level and $0 \%$ of senior level position holders strongly agreed that men receive more organizational support and trust than women.

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R <br> Square | Sid Error of <br> the Estimate | R square <br> change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $.488(\mathrm{a})$ | .238 | -.089 | .709 | .238 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Double, Difficult, Opportunities, Attention, Support, Unfair judgment

Here we can see that position can be $23.8 \%$ influenced by all independent variables.

ANOVA (b)

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sie |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 2.198 | 6 | 366 | .729 | $634(\mathrm{a})$ |
|  | Residual | 7.040 | 14 | 503 |  |  |
|  | Total | 9.238 | 20 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Double, Difficult, Opportunities, Attention, Support, Unfair judgment
b. Dependent Variable: Position

Coefficients (a)

| Mosel |  | Unstantardixed <br> Cocfficients | Standardized <br> Coeflicients | t | Siz. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | B | Sid. Error | Bela |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (Constam) | 2.994 | 974 |  | 3.075 | . 008 |
|  | Difficulk | -. 242 | . 151 | -. 442 | -1.598 | 132 |
|  | Unfair iudguent | . 275 | . 202 | 494 | 1.362 | . 195 |
|  | Allention | -. 082 | . 174 | -. 132 | -. 470 | . 646 |
|  | Opportunities | . 032 | . 181 | . 054 | . 178 | 861 |
|  | Support | -. 050 | 167 | -. 086 | -. 300 | . 769 |
|  | Double | -. 241 | . 233 | -. 295 | -1.035 | . 318 |

a. Dependent Variable: Position

Here can see that if we increase position by 1 step in this case difficulties decrease by .44 unit, unfair judgment increase by 49 , attention decrease by 132 unit, opportunities increase tr. 054 unit, trust and support decrease by .086 unit and double role decrease by .295 unit.

## Corporate

Statistics

|  | Age of lhe <br> sample | Experience | Position | Educalion | Marial |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N Vatid | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |

## Frequency Table

## Age of the sample

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percenı |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | $25-30$ | 6 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  | $31-35$ | 5 | 25.0 | 55.0 |
|  | $36-40$ | 6 | 30.0 | 85.0 |
|  | above 40 | 3 | 15.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100.0 |  |

Experience

|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumblative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| leas than 1 | 3 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| years | 5 | 25.0 | 40.0 |
| 3.5 years | 8 | 40.0 | 80.0 |
| $5-10$ years | 4 | 20.0 | 100.0 |
| Over 10 years | 20 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

## Position

|  |  |  | Cumblative <br> Perceal |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Validi | Freqtry level | 6 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  | mid level | 8 | 40.0 | 70.0 |
|  | Seniar level | 6 | 30.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Education

|  |  |  | Cumulntive <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | bachelor | 4 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
|  | masters | 15 | 75.0 | 95.0 |
|  | phd | 1 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100.0 |  |



## Martial

|  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Fingle | 3 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
|  | Frequency | Valid Percent | 17 | 85.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Above is the demography of corporate women

## Crosstabs

## Position * Unfair judgment Cross tabulation

Count

|  |  | Unfair judgment |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | disagree | neutral | agree |  |
| Position | entry leves | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
|  | mid level | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
|  | senior level | 5 | 0 | $!$ | 6 |
| Total |  | 17 | 2 | 1 | 20 |

Here independent variable is the unfair judgment. We can see that $0 \%$ entry level, $0 \%$ mid level. and $33 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that they are they are being judged unfairly.

Position * Opportunities Cross tabulation
Count

|  |  | Opportunities |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | disagree | neuliral | agree |  |
| Posilion | eniry level | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
|  | mid level | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
|  | senior level | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Total |  | 10 | 3 | 7 | 20 |

Here independent variable is the opportunities. We can see that $33 \%$ entry level, $50 \%$ mid level, and $16 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that they have fewer opportunities than men for professional development at work than men.

## Position * Support Cross tabulation

Count

|  |  | Suppon |  |  |  | Toial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | disagree | neutral | agree | strongly agree |  |
| Position | entry level | 4 | 1 | 0 | I | 6 |
|  | mid level | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
|  | senior level | 2 | I | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| Tolal |  | 11 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 20 |

Here independent variable is the trust and support. We can see that $0 \%$ entry level, $25 \%$ mid level, and $50 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that men receive more organizational support and trust than women and $16 \%$ of entry level position holders strongly agreed that men receive more organizational support and trust than women.

## Model Summary

| 0 | 3 | RSpurr | Adposted R square | Sid. Ertor of the Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R square change |
|  | A4a) | 188 | * 187 | 866 | IR8 |

ariont Constant). Double, Attention, Opportunities, Unfair judgment, Difficult, Severn

Here an see that position can be $18.8 \%$ influenced by all independent variables.

## NOVA (b)

| Madel |  | Sum of <br> Squans | df | Mean Square | F | Siz |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Regression | 2.257 | 6 | 376 |  | 502 |
|  | Residual | 9.743 | 13 | 799 |  |  |
|  | Total | 12.000 | 19 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Double, Attention, Opportunities, Unfair judgment, Difficult, Support
b. Dependent Variable: Position

## Coefficients (a)

| Modal |  | Uastandardized Coellicierts |  | Standardized Coefficients <br> Beta | $\pm$ | Siz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Sid. Emor |  |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | 2.240 | 2.578 |  | *9 | . 401 |
|  | Diflicult | 116 | 250 | 141 | 465 | . 650 |
|  | Unfait judyment | 305 | .437 | 201 | 699 | 497 |
|  | Atiention | - 145 | 274 | . 171 | .328 | 607 |
|  | Opportunities | - 327 | 274 | -384 | -1 199 | 254 |
|  | Support | 281 | 311 | 356 | 906 | 382 |
|  | Double | - 169 | 499 | - 109 | . 399 | . 740 |

a. Dependent Variable: Position
here can see that if we increase position by 1 step in this case difficulties increase by 141 unit, unfair judgment increase by 201, attention decrease by . 171 unit, opportunities decrease by . 384 unit, trust and support increase by .356 unit and double role decrease by 109 unit.

## Government

## Statistics



## Frequency Table

Age of the sample

|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | $25-30$ | 4 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
|  | $31-35$ | 4 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
|  | $36-40$ | 7 | 35.0 | 75.0 |
|  | above 40 | 5 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Tolal | 20 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Experience

|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ glid | less than 2 years | 3 | 15.0 | 150 |
|  | 2-5 years | 5 | 25.0 | 400 |
|  | 5-10 years | 9 | 45.0 | 85.0 |
|  | over 10 years | 3 | 15.0 | 1000 |
|  | Toral | 20 | 100.0 |  |

## Position

|  |  | Cumblative <br> Percent |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | entry Icvel | 6 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
|  | inid level | 9 | 45.0 | 75.0 |


| sanior lexd | 5 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trita! | 20 | 100.0 |  |

## Education

|  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valld | brequency | Valid Percent | 2 | 10.0 |
|  | masters | 15 | 75.0 | 10.0 |
|  | phd | 2 | 10.0 | 45.0 |
|  | others | 1 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Martial

|  |  | Frequency | Valid Percent | Cumalative Peremt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | single | 4 | 200 | 20.0 |
|  | married | 14 | 70.0 | 90.0 |
|  | divorced | 1 | 30 | 95.0 |
|  | othen | 1 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 20 | 1000 |  |

Position * Unfair judgment Cross tabulation
Count

|  |  | Enfair judyment |  |  |  | Toual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | diaquese | neutral | agree | Atrongly agree |  |
| Position | entry lewel | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
|  | mid level | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
|  | setior leved | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Tetal |  | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 20 |

Here independent variable is the unfair judgment. We can see that $50 \%$ entry level, $44 \%$ mid level, and $40 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that they are they are being judged unfairly and $20 \%$ of senior level position holders strongly agreed that they are they are being judged unfairly.

## Position * Opportunities Cross tabulation

Court

|  |  | Opportunities |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | disagree | neutral | agree | Strongly agree |  |
| Touan | entry lowel | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
|  | mid level | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
|  | smbior level | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Teul |  | 5 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 20 |

Here independent variable is the opportunities. We can see that $50 \%$ entry level, $44 \%$ mid Jevel. and $20 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that they have fewer opportunities than men for professional development at work and $16 \%$ of entry level, $22 \%$ of mid level and $20 \%$ of senior level position holders strongly agreed that that they have fewer opportunities than men for professional development at work.

## Position * Support and trust Cross tabulation

Count

|  |  | Support and trust |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | disagree | neutral | agree | strongly agree |  |
| Position | entry level | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 |
|  | mid level | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 9 |
|  | senior level | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Toial |  | 2 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 20 |

Here independent variable is the trust and support. We can see that $66 \%$ entry level, $66 \%$ mid level, and $20 \%$ senior level of position holder agreed that men receive more organizational support and trust than women and $0 \%$ of entry level, $11 \%$ of mid level and $20 \%$ of senior level position holders strongly agreed that men receive more organizational support and trust than women

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Stid. Error of the Estimase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | R Square Change |
| 1 | . 485 (a) | . 235 | . 1118 | . 803 | 235 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Double, Unfair judgment, Difficult, Support, Attention, Opportunities

Here we can see that position can be $23.5 \%$ influenced by all independent variables.

| Buter |  | Sum of Squares | dr | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regression | 2.572 | 6 | . 429 | . 665 | .679(3) |
|  | Residual | 8.378 | 13 | . 64.4 |  |  |
|  | Total | 10.950 | 19 |  |  |  |

a. Prodictors: (Constant), Double, Unfair judgment, Difficult, Support, Attention, Opportunities
b. Dependent Variable: Position

## Coefficients (a)

| Model |  | Únslandardized Coefficients |  | Siandardized Coefficients | Sig. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Sid. Error | Bela |  |  |
| 1 | (Consiant) | 3.930 | 2.323 |  | 1.691 | . 115 |
|  | Diniculi | . 0335 | 242 | . 045 | . 145 | 887 |
|  | Unfair judgmenı | -. 035 | . 195 | -. 045 | -. 180 | . 860 |
|  | Allention | . 268 | . 202 | . 441 | 1.323 | . 208 |
|  | Opporlunitics | . 511 | $\therefore 36$ | .738 | 1.435 | . 175 |
|  | Suppori | -. 633 | . 370 | -. 678 | -1.711 | . 111 |
|  | Doublc | . 501 | . 539 | -. 377 | -. 928 | . 370 |

a. Dependent Variable: Position
here can see that if we increase position by I step in this case difficulties decrease by .045 unit, unfair judgment decrease by .045 , attention increase by .441 unit, opportunities increase by .738 unit, trust and support decrease by .678 unit and double role decrease by .337 unit.

## Recommendation

Since we did the regression model without doing the reliability test, our significance level was extremely low. So in next time if anyone wants to do further research they must keep this in mind.

## Conclusion

It is clearly indentified that women are very much under privilaged than male in different sectors. Male are failing to pay attention as well as organizations are not suppoting or providing opportunities enough to develop full competencies of women.

Also as the position increase, women are failing to do their double role. All women agreed fully that they need full support from the organization to perform their double role. That concludes that in the job they are not getting the full appreciation than male and on the other hand they can not allocate sufficient time for their family.

Organizations can provide different training programs on ethical issues, gender issues and notivational issues to both male and female employees.

Organizations should treat women equally with men. Women should have equal opportunities and support. Male should be attentive enough towards women to encourage them. Only by participation of both the parties a organization can run and function effeciently.
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